Can fetal fibronectin testing improve the management of preterm labour?
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Summary

The detection of fetal fibronectin (Ffn) in cervico-vaginal secretions has been proposed as a method to differentiate true from false threatened preterm labour. This study evaluates the impact of tocolysis in the management of threatened preterm labour and compares actual practice in our department with a hypothetical management strategy based upon fetal fibronectin (Ffn) testing. Over a 12 month period, 41 women were admitted in threatened preterm labour with intact membranes before 34 weeks gestation. In “actual practice”, 13 received tocolysis but only three cases were considered to have benefitted from it (ratio 4.3:1). In the Ffn strategy, 34 women would receive tocolysis with 12 potentially benefitting (ratio 2.8:1). If tocolysis was given to all eligible women (“non-selective” policy) 41 women would receive tocolysis with 12 potentially benefitting (ratio 3.4:1).

The majority of women receiving tocolysis do not benefit from this treatment regardless of whether tocolysis is based upon clinical judgement, Ffn testing or a non-selective administration policy. Administering tocolysis on the basis of a positive Ffn result would be expected to increase the number of women receiving tocolysis but would make a small improvement in the treatment: potential benefit ratio when compared to “actual practice”.
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Introduction

The primary prevention of preterm labour through education, increased antenatal care and alterations in work practises has largely been unsuccessful [1]. Secondary prevention entails the deterrence of preterm delivery when signs of preterm labour appear. Current tocolytic practice with ritodrine results in a short delay in delivery but is associated with adverse maternal effects [2] and antenatal indomethacin is associated with adverse fetal effects such as premature closure of the ductus arteriosus and necrotizing enterocolitis [3, 4]. Antenatal corticosteroids reduce the incidence of hyaline membrane disease and are not associated with subsequent adverse effects in infancy [5, 6] but may result in maternal hyperglycaemia and even pulmonary oedema when used in combination with beta-mimetic agents such as ritodrine [7].

It is recognised that a large proportion of women in apparent early preterm labour will not progress to preterm delivery, i.e. false labour. It would be desirable to be able to reliably discriminate between those in true and false labour, administering tocolysis and steroids to those in true preterm labour only. This targeted approach would be expected to result in a more appropriate use of tocolysis thus increasing its likely benefits and reducing the number of maternal adverse effects.

Fetal fibronectin (Ffn) is a protein found in amniotic fluid, placental tissue and the extra cellular substance of the decidua basalis next to the placental inter-villous space [8] and can be detected by bedside immunoassay following vaginal swabbing (MAST diagnostics, Merseyside, UK). The presence of Ffn in cervico-vaginal secretions is associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery [8, 9, 10]. Observational studies of the value of Ffn detection in the identification of women in threatened preterm labour subsequently delivering before and after 34 weeks gestation have suggested Ffn can usefully discriminate between true and false preterm labour [11, 12, 13, 14]. Since the administration of maternal corticosteroids is only of proven benefit before 34 weeks gestation [6] and requires a minimum of 24 hours, preferably 48 hours to become maximally effective, then a preterm labour management protocol of tocolysis and corticosteroid administration based upon the Ffn result would appear to be attractive.

In order to test this hypothesis, we have evaluated the management of threatened preterm labour in our department over a 12-month period with particular emphasis on the use of tocolysis with intravenous ritodrine. We have subsequently applied a treatment schedule based upon the hypothetical application of Ffn testing to the same population. This allows us to compare the use of ritodrine based upon actual clinical practice with that which would have occurred had tocolysis been limited to women who were Ffn positive upon admission.

Methods

All women admitted in threatened preterm labour to our department over a 12-month period (1993-1994) were identified. Ninewells Hospital is a teaching hospital and tertiary referral centre for high risk obstetric patients with approximately 3,300 deliveries per annum. Gestational age was determined from the routine mid-trimester biparietal diameter measurement. Women were considered to be in idiopathic threatened preterm labour if they were experiencing regular uterine acti-
vity, the cervix showed signs of effacement and dilatation was less than 4 cms. on admission.

Cases were excluded from the analysis if there was antepartum haemorrhage, clinical chorioamnionitis, recognised cervical incompetence or a contra-indication to tocolysis. Cases with preterm premature rupture of the membranes (PPROM) were also excluded since these are not appropriate for comparison with the Ffn management strategy and also because there is no apparent benefit of tocolysis in the presence of ruptured membranes [15, 16].

In the “actual practice” management strategy, the administration of tocolysis was decided by the attending obstetrician based upon the assessment of uterine activity (palpation and tocography), cervical consistency and observation. Ritodrine is the only tocolytic agent employed in our department and is administered in stepwise infusion increments from 20 microg/min. to a maximum of 300 microg/min, titrated against uterine activity.

The following assumptions were made prior to the evaluation of “actual practice” and the Ffn strategies;
1. – Tocolysis with ritodrine can result in postponement of delivery to 48 hours following administration but not beyond this [17]. It is assumed that ritodrine has no other proven benefit in the management of preterm labour.
2. – Maternally administered corticosteroids are effective in reducing hyaline membrane disease at gestational ages of less than 34 weeks and require 48 hours to become maximally effective [6].
3. – When applied to women in threatened preterm labour at less than 34 weeks gestation, a positive Ffn test will have a 64% positive predictive and 100% negative predictive value for delivery before 34 weeks gestation [11, 12, 13, 14].
4. – Women in the “actual practice” strategy can be considered to have potentially benefited from ritodrine only if the following outcome criteria are met:

- a) subsequent delivery before 34 weeks gestation;
- b) subsequent delivery 48 hours or more following admission and administration of ritodrine.

Results

Sixty-one women were admitted in threatened preterm labour at less than 34 weeks gestation. Twenty women had PPROM therefore analysis was restricted to the 41 with intact membranes. The “actual practice” management and subsequent outcomes are presented in Figure 1. Thirteen cases received tocolysis with intravenous ritodrine. Of these 13 cases, three subsequently delivered at greater than 34 weeks with long admission to delivery intervals (7 weeks, 6 weeks, 6 weeks) and are not considered to have benefitted from tocolysis; three cases delivered less than 34 weeks but greater than 48 hours following admission and may have benefitted from tocolysis; seven cases received ritodrine but delivered within 48 hours of admission and are considered ritodrine failures. For a total of 13 cases treated with ritodrine, only three cases potentially benefitted. The treatment-to-potential benefit ratio is 4.3:1. A further nine cases delivered before 34 weeks and within 48 hours of admission, and are considered potential ritodrine beneficiaries who did not receive tocolysis.

By assuming a positive predictive value of 64% and negative predictive value of 100% for delivery before and after 34 weeks of Ffn testing, and subsequently limiting treatment with ritodrine to those who are Ffn positive, a hypothetical Ffn management strategy can be developed and is illustrated in Figure 2.
By applying the same criteria of potential benefit from
tocolysis to this management strategy as was applied to
the “actual practice” (vide supra) strategy and with the
knowledge of the actual outcome of the cases, a total of
34 cases would be expected to be Ffn positive and would
therefore have received ritodrine. Twelve cases would
potentially benefit from treatment resulting in a treat-ment-to-benefit ratio of 2.8:1.

A further hypothetical management strategy can be
applied whereby all women presenting with threatened
preterm labour at less than 34 weeks and with intact
membranes received tocolysis (“non-selective” model).
This would result in 41 women receiving tocolysis with
a possible benefit to 12. This results in a treatment-to-
benefit ratio of 3.4:1.

By constructing 2x2 tables it is possible to compare the
“actual practice” versus Ffn strategy. The Yates corrected
chi squared value is 0.21 (p=0.65) with odds ratio 1.67
(0.35-10.33) i.e., not statistically significantly different.

Discussion

The application of a test to discriminate between true
and false preterm labour might be expected to result in a
more selective and rational administration of tocolysis
and corticosteroids. This study has aimed to evaluate
such a test by applying a hypothetical Ffn model and
comparing it with actual clinical management. Whilst
this method of study is not a substitute for a correctly
performed randomised trial of Ffn testing in the manage-ment of threatened preterm labour, it can be expected to
give a reliable indication of the magnitude of any likely
benefit. We believe that applying the Ffn model to our
population in this way to be valid since a previous study of
Ffn testing from our department in a group of patients
with similar characteristics reported very similar
results to other, comparable studies of Ffn testing
[11, 12, 13].

One potential benefit of fetal Ffn testing might be a
reduction in the number of women receiving tocolysis by
obviating the need for tocolysis when Ffn testing is nega-tive. The potential benefit of Ffn therefore will depend
upon the prevalence of the use of tocolysis in any parti-cular department. By applying the Ffn model to the pop-u-lation described here, the overall use of ritodrine would
be increased (assuming that ritodrine was administered to
all women with a positive test). This increase in ritodrine
administration is associated with an increase in the
number of women who would potentially benefit from
tocolysis. The treatment-to-potential benefit ratio is
improved from 4.3:1 to 2.8:1 with the introduction of Ffn
testing, but this improvement is not statistically signifi-cant. Failure of the Ffn strategy to improve the treat-ment-to-benefit ratio further is principally because of the anti-
ipated 36% false positive Ffn results which would result in
a number of cases receiving unnecessary ritodrine.

Comparing the Ffn model with a “non-selective” prac-tice of tocolysis results in an improved treatment-to-
potential benefit ratio with Ffn since fewer women would
receive ritodrine, but the number of cases that might
benefit from tocolysis remains the same (high negative
discriminative value of the Ffn test).

Conclusion

The practice of managing threatened preterm labour
with tocolysis and corticosteroids remains unsatisfactory
since a significant proportion of women are unnecessa-
arily receiving treatment. The results of this study suggest
that introducing selective tocolysis on the basis of a
positive Ffn alone would result in an increased number
of women receiving tocolysis and a concomitant
increase in the number who would potentially benefit
from treatment. The Ffn strategy results in a non-statisti-
cally significant improvement in the ratio of numbers
treated to the number who would potentially benefit
from tocolysis.

These results do not support the adoption of Ffn
testing into the current management of threatened
preterm labour where the incidence of ritodrine adminis-
tration is low, but do suggest a potential benefit where
the incidence of tocolysis is very high. It may be that
combining Ffn testing with another independent discrimi-nator of threatened preterm labour may yet improve
our clinical decision making in the administration of
tocolytic agents.
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