Introduction

The use of GnRH analogues to achieve pituitary down regulation and prevent a premature LH surge in order to improve the outcome of IVF/ICSI cycles has been used in assisted reproduction techniques (ART) daily practice [1]. The final goal is through the ovarian stimulation with gonadotropins to achieve an adequate number of mature oocytes providing an improved potential for better quality embryos to select from for embryo transfer and freezing of surplus embryos for future use [2].

During the luteal phase of these ART cycles, an abrupt decline of steroids levels between the ovulation triggering day and the midluteal phase has been reported, but it is not deleterious for implantation after in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer [3]. Also, the ability of the altered luteal phase hormonal profile in predicting pregnancy after IVF/ICSI cycles remains controversial. Several reports have resulted in conflicting conclusions, concerning the affected fertilization, implantation and pregnancy rates [4-8].

All studies examine the outcome of the IVF/ICSI cycles in relation to the mean estradiol (E2), peak E2 levels, and mean progesterone (P) and FSH levels in the luteal phase, and although an association with mean E2 levels has been suggested, no cut-off values could be recognized that could distinguish achievement of pregnancy on an individual basis.

In the present study, the authors evaluated the E2 and P levels and their percentage and actual changes between days 6 and 10 after embryo transfer and estimated their predictive ability with the achievement of clinical pregnancy after IVF/ICSI cycles.

Materials and Methods

This prospective study was conducted at the Assisted Reproductive Unit of the 2nd Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aretaieio Hospital of Athens, Greece, during the period from March 2013 to March 2017. The study was approved by the Hospital’s Ethics Committee and informed consent from the patients was obtained.

The inclusion criteria entry in the study were: age 25-42 years, BMI ≤ 35 and ≥ 19, normo-ovulatory patients, and basal FSH ≤11 mIU/mL. Definition of expected normal ovarian response was based primarily on antral follicle count (AFC ≥ 5 and ≤ 12) and secondary on the absence of polycystic morphology of the ovary. The exclusion criteria were: history of more than three previous unsuccessful IVF/ICSI cycles, FSH > 12 mIU/mL, BMI > 35 or < 19, poor ovarian response according to the 2011 Bologna criteria [9] history of autoimmune, endocrine or metabolic disorders, ovarian cystectomy or oophorectomy. A cohort of 282 participants that met the inclusion criteria was enrolled in the study.

All participants were treated with a GnRH antagonist protocol: ovarian stimulation began on the second day of the cycle and the antagonist, Ganirelix was initiated as soon as the leading follicle reached a diameter of 14 mm. For the ovarian simulation, recombinant FSH in the form of either follitropin alpha or follitropin
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beta was administered subcutaneously.

For cycle monitoring, transvaginal ultrasonography every 2–4 days (or as required) was performed. Starting doses were adjusted individually according to the age, FSH, AMH levels, and previous response to IVF cycles of each participant, while further adjustments and monitoring frequency were dependent upon participant’s response to stimulation. When two or more follicles reached a diameter of 18 mm, hCG (10,000 IU) was administered prior to transvaginal ultrasound-guided oocyte retrieval (OR) 36 hours later. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was performed both in cases of male subfertility, and in assisted reproductive history of low fertilization rate. Embryo transfer was performed either two or three days after the OR. Luteal phase support was achieved by transvaginal administration of progesterone vaginal suppositories 200 mg tds. Clinical pregnancy was confirmed by a transvaginal ultrasound scan at seven weeks of gestation, suggested after a positive β-hCG 12–14 days after ET.
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Both E2 and P levels were measured on day of hCG ovulation triggering and on days 6 and 10 after embryo transfer along with β-hCG on days 12–14 after embryo transfer in all patients. Clinical pregnancy was defined as the presence of intrauterine sac with yolk sac and fetal heart detected at transvaginal ultrasound performed at about seven weeks gestation. The primary analysis was performed to evaluate possible changes in E2 and P levels on days 6 and 10 after embryo transfer and to associate them with the occurrence of clinical pregnancy.

The following kits were used for both hormones: the measurement range for E2 kit was from 9 to 3000 pg/mL. The analytic detection limit with a probability of 95% was 9 pg/mL. The functional detection limit, defined as the smallest measurable concentration of E2 with a coefficient of variation of 20%, was 25 pg/mL. The within-run reproducibility was 4.6% and the between-run reproducibility was 6.4%.

The measurement range for the P kit was from 25–80 ng/mL. The functional detection limit with a probability of 95% was < 0.25 ng/mL. The within-run reproducibility was 4.0% and the between-run reproducibility was 3.8%.

D’Agostino-Pearson test was used to assess if variables were following normal distribution or not. Because not all of the examined parameters did not follow normal distribution comparison of parameters was performed with the use of either Wilcoxon or Student’s t-test.

Logistic regression analysis was performed for the dichotomous variables and coefficients, standard error and statistical significance of variables under investigation were estimated.

The predictive ability of days 6 and 10 E2 and P levels, their percentage change between days 6 and 10 post-embryo transfer and the absolute and percentage reduction of both levels between days 0 and 6 post-embryo transfer on clinical pregnancy were analyzed using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Statistical analysis was performed using the Medcalc software (version 17.5.5-64 bit). A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study consisted of 282 women, with a mean age (±SD) of 34.3 ± 4.8 years and a mean BMI (±SD) of 25.8 ± 4.06 (range 20, 7-31).

Table 1 presents the mean values of E2 and P level on the day of hCG ovulation triggering, day 6 E2 level, day 10 E2 level, day 6 P level, day 10 P level, percentage change of estradiol level, percentage change of P level, absolute difference of E2 level, absolute difference of P level, their corresponding range, standard deviation, and 95% CI for the mean.

A mean reduction of absolute E2 levels of 1407.5 ± 1118.4 ng/mL and of 73% ± 28.8, between days 0 (day of hCG triggering) and six post-embryo transfers were found, but none were associated with the outcome of IVF/ICSI (percentage E2 decline p = 0.91, and absolute E2 decline p = 0.57). Similarly, the mean levels and the absolute difference between E2 and P levels and the percentage change of P on days 6 and 10 post-embryo transfer had no correlation with the outcome of IVF/ICSI (Table 2).
In contrast, the percentage of E2 change between days 6 and 10 post-embryo transfer was found to be associated with the outcome of IVF/ICSI \( (p < 0.0001) \). The ROC curve in predicting clinical pregnancy was calculated at 0.864 (95% CI: 0.841-0.92). A cut-off value of reduction of \( > 32 \% \) demonstrated a sensitivity of 84.3% and a specificity of 80.4% (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

In the present study, the authors evaluated the E2 and P levels and their percentage and actual changes between days of hCG triggering, on days 6 and 10 after embryo transfer and estimated their predictive ability with the achievement of clinical pregnancy in subfertile couples undergoing IVF/ICSI cycles, through GnRH antagonist protocols. The present authors observed that a reduction of at least 32% in E2 levels between days 6 and 10 post-embryo transfer carries a predictive ability for clinical pregnancy after IVF/ICSI of 86.4%, with sensitivity of 84.3% and specificity of 80.4%.

The rationale of studying steroids after the embryo transfer lies on the fact that any kind of deviation of their physiological ovarian production might interfere with impaired implantation, due to a differentiated endometrial priming and receptivity and, possibly, with poorer embryo quality [3]. The conflicting results reported so far in the literature are associated with the lack of reliable tests for endometrial receptivity and probably the different protocols implemented and groups studied.

The present authors found reductions in both E2 and P levels in all their calculations between days of hCG triggering, on days 6 and 10 after the embryo transfer, all not being able to reach statistical significance, except for one comparison. Notably, the absolute midluteal E2 levels required for implantation have not yet been defined [3, 10-12] and furthermore, E2 support during this phase is not clear that it increases live birth rates [13-15].

The percentage reduction in E2 levels between days 6 and 10 post-embryo transfer was found to be associated with the occurrence of clinical pregnancy. The concept of this finding the present authors believe that, has to do with the theory of the regression or not of the corpus luteum. In the same context, such declines (concerning the difference between hCG triggering and midluteal phase and reaching up to 90%) have been reported either to be correlated with the outcome of IVF [8, 10, 16, 17] or not [3, 11], while this correlation was reported to be evident only in good or high responders [6, 7]. Interestingly, authors applying a multiple logistic regression analysis in a selected group of good and high responders undergoing a GnRH-agonist protocol, found that the hormonal profile of the midluteal phase, had no significant impact on implantation [3].

In the present study, the ROC curve for the percentage reduction in E2 in predicting clinical pregnancy was calculated at 0.864 (95% CI: 0.841-0.92). A cut-off value of reduction of \( > 32 \% \) demonstrated a sensitivity of 84.3% and a specificity of 80.4%. Similarly, Ganesh et al. [17], comparing absolute levels found significant declines in E2 levels between pregnant and non-pregnant women at days 7 and 14 after embryo transfer, results that after a discriminant analysis, showed a classification accuracy of \( > 80 \% \).

In contrast P levels were not associated with the occurrence of clinical pregnancy. This finding is in accordance with those reported so far in the literature [17], but not in frozen IVF cycles. The possible pitfalls with the calculations of P in the midluteal phase have to do with the presence of multiple corpora lutea, in addition to exogenous P supplementation.

The apparent limitations of this study are mainly attributed to its nature. The lack of power calculation, blinding, and randomization are linked with possible unknown confounders and selection bias, while partially explains the conflicting results so far. Moreover, residual confounding, such as antral follicle count and response to stimulation, cannot be excluded and this might potentially have an effect on the strength of the association between differences in hormonal levels and clinical pregnancy rates.

**Conclusion**

This prospective study on 282 subfertile women undergoing IVF, showed that a reduction of \( < 32 \% \) in E2 levels between days 6 and 10 after embryo transfer demonstrated a predictive ability for clinical pregnancy after IVF/ICSI of 86.4% with sensitivity 84.3% and specificity 80.4%, and
can be used as a reliable marker for the prediction of success in terms of clinical pregnancy rates. Properly powered and conducted prospective studies are further needed to support these findings.
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