Circulating Kisspeptin Levels in Spontaneous Abortion: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Background: To systematically review the association of circulating kisspeptin and spontaneous abortion. Methods: Four English and two Chinese databases were used to identify relevant studies. Two reviewers independently screened the search results, extracted data, and assessed the quality of the literature. A random effects model meta-analysis of the standardized mean difference was conducted, and the I² index was used to assess heterogeneity. Results: Nine observational articles were included, comprising 312 patients with spontaneous abortion and 1395 controls (intrauterine pregnancy). The meta-analysis showed that the spontaneous abortion group had significantly decreased circulating kisspeptin levels [standardized mean difference = −2.78 (−4.48, −1.09), p = 0.001] compared with the intrauterine pregnancy group. Inconsistent adjustment for confounders and significant between-study heterogeneity were noted in this study. Conclusions: Circulating kisspeptin levels were lower in the spontaneous abortion group than in the intrauterine pregnancy group, which indicates that kisspeptin might be an independent biomarker of spontaneous abortion. Due to the limited quality and quantity of the studies included, more high-quality studies are required to verify the above conclusion.
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1. Introduction

Miscarriage or spontaneous abortion (SAB) affects 10%–20% of clinically recognized pregnancies and is most common before 12 weeks of gestation [1]. Pregnancy loss is often distressing for women and their partners, not only with potentially serious adverse effects on their social and psychological wellbeing but also due to increased risk of developing serious antenatal morbidities such as preclampsia and preterm delivery during subsequent pregnancies [1]. SAB may be caused due to cytogenetic abnormalities in the embryo, anatomical uterine defects, endometrial dysfunction, autoimmune disorders, thrombotic events, environmental factors, and in many cases, the cause remains unexplained [2]. The recently identified hormone kisspeptin (KP) is a group of arginine-phenylalanine (RF) amide peptides encoded by KISS-1, which binds to the G-protein-coupled receptor GPR54 and is expressed in several areas of the brain and placenta [6,7]. Meanwhile, KP has originally been described as the regulator of tumor metastasis and its invasion into surrounding tissues [8,9]. KP is also expressed most abundantly on the syncytiotrophoblast cells of the placenta, in which it may regulate invasion into the maternal uterine wall [10,11]. An irreplaceable role of KP neurons has been proven to modulate female reproduction, including gonadotropin secretion, puberty onset, brain sex differentiation, ovulation, and metabolic regulation of fertility, via its regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone secretion [12]. Notably, levels of circulating KP increase dramatically during pregnancy, with a 900-fold increase in the first trimester and a further 7000-fold increase in the later trimesters of pregnancy compared with that in nonpregnant women [13]. Furthermore, recent independent studies have suggested that women who have lower serum or plasma KP levels in the first trimester fear miscarriage (pain or bleeding during pregnancy) compared with women with uncomplicated pregnancy (6–10 weeks of gestation) [14]. Despite the performance of circulating KP as a novel plasma biomarker to discriminate adverse and viable pregnancies [15,16], it is unclear whether there is a link between KP and spontaneous abortion in the first trimester, and few systematic assessments illustrating this link have been published.
Therefore, in the absence of randomized controlled trials, we conducted a meta-analysis of observational studies to further assess maternal KP levels to sufficiently discriminate between SAB and intrauterine pregnancy (IUP) in the first trimester. This analysis is important for advancing the literature on this topic to promote clinical applications in the future.

2. Methods

2.1 Registration

This study has been registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews trial registry (CRD42020210803). Recommended guidelines from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement were followed [17].

2.2 Eligibility

The following inclusion criteria were adopted: (1) the case group were diagnosed as SAB. SAB was defined as a loss of intrauterine pregnancy between 6 and 12 weeks of gestational age (according to the last menstrual period) with abdominal pain, vaginal bleeding, or both, including embryonic pole ≥7 mm without cardiac activity, inappropriate growth of a gestational sac with no further development of the pregnancy, the absence of embryonic cardiac activity after previously documented embryonic cardiac activity, and dropping hCG level after presenting with vaginal bleeding; (2) the control group were women with IUP, and (3) the original available data were obtainable by contacting the authors. The exclusion criteria were comorbidities and an incomplete data report. We also excluded commentaries, posters, conference proceedings, doctoral and master’s theses, and animal or cell-line studies. We used EndNote to remove duplicate data. Titles and abstracts were screened for eligible studies and the full text was subsequently reviewed for potential qualifying studies. If multiple studies were derived from the same research center, the authors were contacted to exclude overlapping samples.

2.3 Strategy

We searched the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Wanfang, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from database inception to March 2022 without language restrictions. The PubMed database strategy was as follows: ((("Kisspeptins"[Mesh]) OR "Receptors, Kisspeptin-1"[Mesh]) OR "KISS1 protein, human" [Supplementary Concept]) OR ((Kiss-1[Title/Abstract] OR kisspeptin*[Title/Abstract] OR metastin*[Title/Abstract] OR...
Table 1. Full details of the study characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SAB diagnosis criteria</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Unity</th>
<th>Fertilization way</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Sample source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abbara (2021) [14]</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>prospective case-control study</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography or down-trending hCG</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1 ± 0.06</td>
<td>pmol/L</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayasena (2014) [19]</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>prospective cohort study</td>
<td>33.10 ± 4.80</td>
<td>32.40 ± 5.10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.42</td>
<td>pmol/L</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan-Pyke (2018) [15]</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>prospective case-control study</td>
<td>30.40 ± 7.10</td>
<td>27.10 ± 4.90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.55</td>
<td>ng/mL</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorkem (2021) [24]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>prospective cohort study</td>
<td>27.4 ± 5.8</td>
<td>25.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>86.7 ± 69.5</td>
<td>102.5 ± 79.5</td>
<td>ng/mL</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (2020) [25]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>cross-sectional study</td>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>42.47 ± 16.86</td>
<td>79.26 ± 30.9</td>
<td>pg/mL</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>serum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu (2019) [22]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>prospective case-control study</td>
<td>31.90 ± 3.80</td>
<td>32.50 ± 4.10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>762.2 ± 210.3</td>
<td>730.8 ± 274.4</td>
<td>pg/mL</td>
<td>IVF</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuksel (2022) [23]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>prospective case-control study</td>
<td>29 (18–37)</td>
<td>28 (20–38)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.48 ± 1.29</td>
<td>ng/mL</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kavvasoglu (2012) [20]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>case-control study</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>30 ± 5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>transvaginal ultrasonography</td>
<td>391 ± 199.8</td>
<td>5783 ± 1695</td>
<td>pg/mL</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>serum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAB, miscarriage or spontaneous abortion; IVF, In vitro fertilization; ELISA, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; RIA, Radioimmunoassay.
Table 2. Newcastle–Ottawa scale for observational study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Comparability</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Definition of cases</td>
<td>Representativeness of cases</td>
<td>Selection of controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbara (2021) [14]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayasena (2014) [19]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan-Pyke (2018) [15]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorkem (2021) [24]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (2020) [25]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu (2019) [21]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu (2019) [22]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuksel (2022) [23]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kavvasoglu (2012) [20]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample collection</th>
<th>Pre-analytic</th>
<th>Analytic</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time lapse for sample collection</td>
<td>Day of cycle hour</td>
<td>Tube description</td>
<td>Time lapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbara (2021) [14]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayasena (2014) [19]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan-Pyke (2018) [15]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorkem (2021) [24]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (2020) [25]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu (2019) [21]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu (2019) [22]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuksel (2022) [23]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kavvasoglu (2012) [20]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OR Kp-10 [Title/Abstract] AND (Receptor* OR peptide*[Title/Abstract] OR “Metastasis Suppressor” [Title/Abstract] OR protein [Title/Abstract])) OR (GPR54 [Title/Abstract] OR KISS1R [Title/Abstract] OR “G Protein-Coupled Receptor 54” [Title/Abstract]) AND (“abortion, spontaneous”[Title/Abstract] OR (“Spontaneous”[Title/Abstract] OR “Early”[Title/Abstract]) AND “pregnancy loss”*[Title/Abstract]) OR (“abortion*”[Title/Abstract] OR “miscarriage*”[Title/Abstract])). We used Medical Subject Heading terms to retrieve the literature in PubMed, and Emtree terms were used in Embase. The precise search strategy for each of the databases varied slightly based on the different limiters in each database used to narrow down the search results. In addition, the reference lists of included articles were screened for secondary literature.

2.4 Data Abstraction and Quality Assessment

The literature search, title/abstract screening, final decision on eligibility after full-text review, and data extraction were independently performed by two investigators. To reduce the risk of selective reporting bias and to include unpublished findings, one author contacted the corresponding authors of studies for clarification and additional information. Any inconsistencies were resolved through consensus, involving the mediation of all authors. Descriptive data were extracted from each study in relation to the following: first author’s family name, year of publication, country, sample size, study design, diagnostic criteria, specimen source, PK analysis method, time of sample collection, mean differences in circulating KP level, body mass index (kg/m²), mean age (years), fertilization, and unity. The methodological quality of the observational studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [18].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using Review Manager version 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark). The meta-analysis was performed using a random-effect model. We used the standardized mean difference (SMD) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) for pooling estimates on account of large variations in kisspeptin levels. The $I^2$ index was used to quantify the extent of heterogeneity, with values greater than 50% indicating high heterogeneity. Statistical significance was set at a $p$-value of < 0.05, and conventional forest plots were used to summarize the results. Significant clinical heterogeneity was treated with methods such as subgroup, sensitivity, or descriptive analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Study Characteristics of Searched Results

The search strategy generated 133 studies, 46 remained after removing duplicates, 16 retained after title and abstract screening, but 7 were further deemed ineligible and excluded after full-text scrutiny. Finally, a total of nine [14,15,19–25] studies were evaluated for meta-analysis, comprising 312 SAB patients and 1395 controls (Fig. 1). Full details of the study characteristics are summarized in Tables 1,2 (Ref. [14,15,19–25]).

3.2 Quality Assessment

NOS scores indicated high variations among the studies. The score ranged from 6 to 19 points, with a median of 12. In this analysis, we considered quality scores of >12 points as high-quality studies and those with a score of ≤12 points as low-quality studies (Table 2).

3.3 Circulating KP Levels in the SAB Group

Nine studies and a total of 1707 participants were included in the meta-analysis. The overall pooled results (Fig. 2) (Ref. [14,15,19–25]) illustrated that the SAB group, compared with the IUP group, had significantly lower circulating KP levels [SMD = −2.78 (−4.48, −1.09), $p = 0.001$] However, the heterogeneity across the studies was also significant ($p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 99$%). To investigate the source of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses (based on NOS score, ethnicity, fertilization way, detection method, and study design) were conducted. Interestingly, when the NOS score was >12, the heterogeneity decreased to 0, indicating that the high quality may explain a portion of the heterogeneity source (Table 3). The random effects model was selected to combine heterogeneity.

3.4 Sensitivity Analysis

Relevant sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding a single or a cluster of studies at a time and reassessing the effect size for the remaining studies. Ultimately, the results displayed that no single study significantly transformed the original direction of effect size compared with the overall meta-analysis and indicated that the results of the present meta-analysis were stable.

3.5 Publication Bias

We could not assess publication bias due to the limited number of eligible studies.

4. Discussion

KP and its encoding gene, KISS1, which were first identified in 1996 in Hershey [26], have recently been recognized as fundamental activators of the gonadotropin axis with essential roles in the control of gonadotropin secretion, pubertal development, fertility, and placental invasion [27–30]. During implantation and placentation, accumulating evidence has indicated that the locally expressed KP/KISS1R directly participates in various physiological and pathophysiological activities at the maternal–fetal interface, including human endometrial tissues and placental tissues of various species [31]. KP is also found in high levels during the first trimester in syncytiotrophoblast
Moreover, placenta-derived hormones, including HCG, are often used as biomarkers to help clinicians make consultations and manage disorders during pregnancy. Similar to HCG, both KISS1 and KISS1R are highly expressed in the placenta. KP can also be isolated from the human placental extracts [10]. The most abundant KP in human circulation is KP-54, and it is this form that has been investigated as a biomarker for pregnancy viability [33,34]. Horikoshi et al. [13] reported for the first time that the plasma concentration of KP increased dramatically throughout the gestation, elevating to 1230 fmol/mL in the first trimester and reaching a maximum level of 9590 fmol/mL in the third trimester. KP levels then returned to 7.6 fmol/mL by postpartum day 5. Interestingly, peripheral KP levels were found to be very low and did not increase during pregnancy in sheep, cows, pigs, rabbits, horses, rhesus monkeys and marmosets, suggesting that the increase in plasma KP levels during pregnancy is unique to humans [35,36].

To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis conducted to synthesize and report that KP levels were lower in SAB than in early first-trimester viable pregnancies including a total of 9 studies, in which all of the participants were evaluated at 6–12 weeks. This result implied that KP may be involved in sustaining healthy pregnancies, which could be explained by the possible mechanism of KP signaling through the regulation of extravillous trophoblast invasion, embryo implantation, and placentation [37]. In contrast, two studies in this meta-analysis showed that serum kisspeptin levels were higher in SAB group than in controls and had no significant predictive value for miscarriage [22,24]. The key reason for the different results, to the best of our understanding, should be ascribed to the time for the measurement of serum kisspeptin (6 weeks after the
last menstrual period) and in vitro fertilization respectively. Unfortunately, because of the data were incomplete reporting and clinically heterogeneous, this meta-analysis cannot further analyze whether serum KP level has a higher diagnostic value than serum hCG. Notably, Jayasena et al. [19] reported that single measurements of plasma hCG and KP level at the initial prenatal visit were able to discriminate between viable and nonviable pregnancies, but plasma KP had a higher diagnostic performance for miscarriage than hCG (receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) area under curve: 0.899 ± 0.025, kisspeptin; 0.775 ± 0.040, hCG, \( p < 0.01 \)). The study also found no significant correlation between KP and \( \beta \)-HCG levels. These data strongly suggest that KP levels can be considered a new potential marker for early pregnancy viability and may have clinical utility in developing an accurate test for early pregnancy outcomes in the future.

We combine related data of 1707 participants to analyze the relationship between KP and SAB, although the limitations of this meta-analysis are also noted here. Due to the small number of studies retrieved, we only conducted this meta-analysis on nine observational articles, which had weak argumentation for causality. Unfortunately, there was high heterogeneity between the inclusion studies (\( I^2 = 99\% \)). Meta-regression and checks for publication bias were difficult to undertake considering that the limited articles could not provide effective data on patient-related moderators. Despite this, a random effects model was applied for the combined analysis. Meanwhile, subgroup analysis was performed to detect the source of heterogeneity. It was noticed that when the NOS score was >12, the heterogeneity dropped to 0, indicating that the NOS score may explain the source of partial heterogeneity. Lastly, a sensitivity analysis also displayed the stability of combined results by excluding a single or a cluster of studies. Further high-quality prospective studies are needed to obtain more accurate conclusions.

5. Conclusions

The conclusions of this meta-analysis strongly suggest a significant association between miscarriage risk and lower circulating KP concentrations in early pregnancy; these findings set the stage for further biomarker validation in larger randomized controlled trials.
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