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**Abstract**

**Introduction**: L-carnosine has been found to have multimodal activity. **Aim**: The aim of this review was to find out the efficacy of L-carnosine in patients with age-related diseases. **Methods**: Clinical studies evaluated the effect of L-carnosine on cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders were searched in electronic bibliographic databases. The protocol has been registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022314033). The revised Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized trials was used to assess all of the reports for risk of bias. RevMan 5.4 was used to conduct the meta-analysis. **Results**: Following the screening process, 14 papers were selected for systematic review, with 9 of them being qualified for meta-analysis. Many of the included studies showed that L-carnosine has potential therapeutic activity in age related diseases. Results from the meta-analysis showed that in diabetes mellitus, HbA1c [mean difference (MD) 95% CI = −1.25 (−2.49, −0.022); p = 0.05; p = 0.01; I² = 85%] and fasting blood sugar (FBS) [MD 95% CI = −12.44 (−22.44, −2.44); p = 0.01; p = 0.40; I² = 0%] and in neurodegenerative disorder, Wechsler Memory Scale Logical Memory 2 (WMS-LM2) [MD 95% CI = 1.34 (0.83, 1.85); p < 0.00001; p = 0.43; I² = 0%], showed statistically significant difference, favoring the L-carnosine group over the control group. While in neurodegenerative disorder, Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS) [MD 95% CI = 0.98 (−1.55, −0.42); p = 0.0007; p = 0.86; I² = 0%] and Back Depression Inventory (BDI) [MD 95% CI = −1.12 (−1.87, −0.37); p = 0.003; p = 0.73; I² = 0%] showed statistically significant difference, favoring the control group over L-carnosine group. **Conclusions**: Clinical studies were conducted to manage chemotherapy induced toxicities and there are no clinical studies available for its anti-cancer use, and the current evidence does not support its use in the treatment of cardiovascular disease.
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1. **Introduction**

Gulevitch discovered carnosine (β-alanine-l-histidine) as an endogenous and water-soluble dipetide in Russia in 1900 [1]. Carnosine is produced by the enzyme carnosine synthase from L-histidine and beta-alanine, and it is degraded by the enzyme carnosinase. Carnosine levels in the human body are affected by gender (males have higher levels), age (carnosine concentration decreases with age), and food (vegetarian diet have a lower concentration of carnosine in the skeletal muscles) [2,3]. It has various health properties, including anti-oxidant, anti-glycating, ion-chelating, pH buffering, anti-inflammatory, and anti-aging properties [4].

Aging is defined as the irreversible loss of physiological function and ageing processes are described as those that enhance an individual’s susceptibility to conditions that eventually lead to death as they grow older. It’s a complicated multi-factorial process in which numerous components interact at the same time and work at several levels of functional organisation. Aging leads to age-related diseases like cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurological disorders.
**1.1 Role of L-Carnosine in Cancer**

Toxicity from chemotherapy is a common and unfortunate side effect of treatment that can occur even at standard doses. Oral mucositis is a common consequence in patients who have undergone high-dose chemotherapy and after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Oral mucositis is frequently accompanied by pain, odynophagia, xerostomia, and dysgeusia, and it can lead to malnutrition, dehydration, and lowers the patients’ quality of life significantly (QoL) [5]. Polaprezinc (PZ), a chelated form of zinc and L-carnosine, inhibits the production of inflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) and induces an anti-inflammatory effect and immune responses via inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) [5,6].

Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a typical side effect of neurotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs used to treat cancer patients. It is characterized by inflammation, damage, or degeneration of the peripheral nerve fibres. As a commonly used third-generation platinum analogue, oxaliplatin is responsible for more than 70% of symptomatic neurotoxicity of any severity, which frequently leads to treatment discontinuation [7,8].

The oxidative stress, inflammatory, and apoptotic pathways are the responsible pathophysiological factors for Oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy (OPIN). The inflammatory cascade includes the up-regulation of the transcriptional factor NF-κB and its downstream molecule TNF-α, while the redox pathway is associated with the elevation of malondialdehyde and the suppression of nuclear factor-2 erythroid related factor-2 (Nrf-2). Furthermore, elevated apoptotic markers such as caspase-3 can be used to assess the apoptotic signalling pathway[9,10]. By scavenging free radicals and suppressing the inflammatory cascade, L-carnosine has an antioxidant and anti-inflammation effect on OPIN pathways that have been widely studied [11].

**1.2 Role of L-Carnosine in Cardiovascular Diseases**

Pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, and acute-phase proteins, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) are elevated in individuals with myocardial infarction (MI). The role of inflammation in the onset of MI is regarded to be significant [12]. L-carnosine has been shown to reduce the production of IL-6, and thereby it helps in the management of MI [13,14].

Despite breakthroughs in pharmacological therapy and the introduction of novel devices, patients with heart failure continue to have a poor QoL and a lower exercise tolerance. Several factors contribute to the decline in factorial capacity and exercise tolerance, including changes in endothelial and vasodilatory function, abnormalities in skeletal muscle metabolism, and reduction in muscle blood flow during exercise [15]. L-carnosine is a key antioxidant that protects against oxidative damage caused by anaerobic exercise. Lactic acid production increases during exercise, followed by dissociation into lactate and H⁺, lowering pH levels [16]. L-carnosine has an excellent buffering activity because the imidazole group of histidine binds a proton and lowers the pH value. As carnosine’s pKa is closer to that of physiological pH, it could be used as a buffer more quickly during exercise [17,18]. Increased L-carnosine levels in the muscles may help to improve contractility and reduce fatigue [19]. Other mechanisms include regulating myocardial calcium levels, increasing the sensitivity of calcium-release channels and the contractile machinery, and improving cardiac contractility and function [20,21].

**1.3 Role of L-Carnosine in Diabetes Mellitus**

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) accounts for 90% of all diabetes cases. Insulin resistance is a condition in which the body does not fully respond to insulin, causing blood glucose levels to rise. Obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia are all common co-morbidities of T2DM [22]. Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are a group of heterogeneous compounds that form in greater quantities in hyperglycemic conditions. The formation of covalent cross-links with proteins, increased oxidative stress, and up-regulation of inflammation are biological processes for AGES’ detrimental effects. Increased reactive oxygen species formation and NF-κB targets-gene transcription is caused by AGEs interacting with the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), resulting in low-grade inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, platelet activation, and insulin resistance [23]. The identification of AGEs and their binding to RAGE contribute to diabetes’ micro- and macro-vascular complications [24]. L-carnosine has antioxidant properties and the ability to protect against the production of AGEs, making it useful in the treatment of T2DM [25–27].

Hyperglycemia causes aberrant glucose-dependent pathway activation, i.e., the polyol pathway, hexosamine pathway and protein kinase C pathway. Multiple components, such as transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), IL-1, IL-6, and TNF, are produced by these pathways [28,29]. Increased urine TGF-β activates both the canonical (ALK 5, Smad 2/3) and alternative (ALK 1, Smad 1/5) pathways in diabetic individuals. Extracellular matrix accumulates at the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) and mesangium when the canonical pathway is activated. In addition, activation of the alternative pathway induces podocyte damage, which results in the effacement of the foot process. As a result, TGF-β and metabolic pathway activation are essential determinants in the development of diabetic nephropathy [30,31]. One of the proposed mechanisms is that L-carnosine inhibits the synthesis of TGF-β [32].

Skin complications are common in diabetic patients, with over 30% of individuals developing some form of skin
involvement during the course of their condition [33]. Xerosis of the skin is found in up to 40% of diabetic patients [34]. In T2DM, topical use of urea 5% combined with arginine and carnosine increases skin hydration and alleviates skin dryness [33].

1.4 Role of L-Carnosine in Neurodegenerative Disorders

Individuals aged 55 and up will soon make up a quarter or more of the total population of schizophrenia patients globally. Glutamate dysfunction and dysregulation of the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor (glutamatergic receptor) are thought to be linked to the pathogenesis of cognitive deficits of schizophrenia [35,36]. L-carnosine is a known antioxidant that is co-localized at glutamatergic synapses, and it helps people with schizophrenia with their deficient cellular antioxidant defences [37–39]. Furthermore, L-carnosine has been shown to modulate NMDA receptor activity, lower glutamate levels, and limit glutamate release [40,41].

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia, accounting for 60 to 70% of all cases. According to Hipkiss’s [42] study, certain areas of the brain are the most sensitive to damage associated with AD when carnosine levels decline with age. Furthermore, heavy metal ions can be found in the brains of people with AD, which is defined by the presence of a pathogenic form of amyloid beta protein and intracellular lesions made up primarily of the cytoskeletal protein tau [43]. L-carnosine binds to metal ions and inhibits amyloid beta formation while also acting as a “scavenger” of free radicals [44].

Given this background, we aimed to find out the efficacy of L-carnosine versus placebo, or usual treatment, or other pharmacological/non-pharmacological interventions in patients with age-related diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders. We, therefore, conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies, which will raise awareness of the clinical usage of L-carnosine for the aforementioned disorders among healthcare professionals, researchers, patients, and the general public.

2. Methods

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed in this systematic review [45]. The protocol for this systematic review was registered into PROSPERO, an international database of prospectively registered systematic reviews in health and social care, with the registration number CRD42022314033.

2.1 Selection Criteria

The population, intervention, comparison, outcomes, and study design (PICOS) approach was used to rate studies for eligibility [46]. Table 1 shows the PICOS criteria for inclusion and exclusion. Papers published before 2011 and articles written in languages other than English were excluded from the study.

2.2 Search Strategy

Before defining our research question, we ran a preliminary search in PROSPERO to see if there were any current systematic reviews or meta-analyses on the same topic. PubMed, Science Direct, Proquest, Scopus, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar, Clinical Trials Registry India (CTRI), and clinicaltrials.org were used by two investigators (KSri and KSur) to perform an independent literature search. Various combinations and phrases of major Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and non-MeSH terms, such as L-carnosine, Carnosine, β-alanine–histidine, L-carnosine, randomized controlled trial (RCT), ageing, cancer, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders were used in search strategies.

The EndNote reference manager was used to remove duplicates, and a final duplicate removal was done. Disagreements over the study selection were settled through discussion among the reviewers. To validate eligibility, the full texts of the selected publications were extracted and independently examined by the three reviewers (KSri, KSur, MD). In the literature search, lists of references to important articles were also checked for intelligibility. Any discrepancies were handled through consensus; with the help of a third author (KU).

2.3 Study Selection

One reviewer (KSur) conducted the primary literature search. The identified papers’ titles, abstracts, keywords, authors, author affiliations, year of publication, volume, issue, and DOI were exported to Microsoft Office Excel ver. 2019 (Redmond, Washington, United States). Furthermore, two reviewers (RMG and VTM) independently examined

Table 1. Selection criteria of articles for systematic review and meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Patients with age-related diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders, any gender, ethnicity, geographic area, comorbidities, or medication use</td>
<td>Studies involving pediatrics or animal sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>L-carnosine supplemented in any dose, any dosage form, either alone or in combination with other intervention(s), of any dosage and for any duration</td>
<td>Studies without L-carnosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>Placebo, usual care, before-after/pre-post comparison, or other pharmacological or non-pharmacological interventions</td>
<td>The comparison of only one group without considering before and after</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Effect of L-carnosine for the management of age-related diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders</td>
<td>Studies without well-defined outcome parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trials</td>
<td>Non-randomized controlled trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the titles and abstracts of the retrieved records to find studies that would fit the aforementioned inclusion criteria. Full-text screening was performed on an article with confusing qualifying criteria. The authors of the papers that might have an impact on the review but whose full text couldn’t be found were contacted via email and asked for the full-text article. Following that, two review team members (RMG and VTM) independently reviewed the complete texts of these possibly eligible papers for eligibility. Any differences between the RMG and VTM over the eligibility of certain studies were resolved by discussion and consensus with a third reviewer (KU). After a month, the searches were conducted again.

2.4 Data Extraction

In a specially designed data extraction form, two independent investigators (KSri and KSur) extracted key data from all of the included publications. A discussion with the third independent investigator (MD) addressed the discrepancy amongst the reviewers, and data accuracy was validated. For each article, we extracted data on authors, year of publication, a number of participants, age, gender, history of diseases, comorbidities, clinical parameters, route of administration, dose and therapeutic regimen of the intervention and control groups, study follow-up time, randomization, concealment of allocation, blindness scheme, presence of an intention-to-treat analysis, loss of follow-up, early termination, expected results and results.

2.5 Assessment of Methodological Quality

Two independent reviewers (MD and KSur) assessed the risk of bias (RoB) in the included papers using the revised Cochrane tool to assess the risk of bias, consisting of five domains: randomization procedure, deviation from intended intervention, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome and selection of the reported result [47]. Finally, any discrepancies were resolved through discussion until an agreement was reached or by consulting a third reviewer (KS).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Among the studies that reported similar outcomes, the meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan 5.4 software (Cochrane Training, New York, NY, USA). If continuous data had baseline and endpoint scores, we looked at the change from baseline to endpoint and estimated mean difference and standard error for continuous exposure. The mean difference (MD) and 95% CI were provided as the final pooled result. A forest plot was used to show the results and study level estimations. A p-value of 0.05 was used to

Fig. 1. PRISMA flow chart illustrating the selection process for all included and excluded studies.
determine statistical significance. In each of the included studies’ analyses, the I² statistic was utilised to assess heterogeneity. Due to the insufficient number of papers, we were unable to perform a funnel plot, hence publication bias could not be detected. When the study’s heterogeneity (I²) was less than 50%, a fixed-effects model was used for all analyses, and when the study’s heterogeneity was greater than 50%, a random-effects model was employed.

3. Results

Cohen’s kappa statistics were used to verify the inter-rater reliability of the reviewers. The Kappa test was used to determine the level of agreement and likelihood of inconsistency among the reviewers. Between MD and KSur, a Kappa test was conducted, yielding a value of kappa = 0.634 (standard error (SE) = 0.171; 95% CI: 0.321–1.000; weighted kappa = 0.774), indicating substantial agreement. The third reviewer (KSri) did not participate in the title, abstract, or full-text screening, but did analyses and explained inconsistencies between MD and KSur in the quality assessment. However, kappa tests were conducted across all four reviewers to confirm their consistency, revealing high agreement. RMG and KSri had a Kappa score of 0.641 (SE of kappa = 0.148; 95% CI: 0.421–0.994; weighted kappa = 0.499), while RMG and KSur had a Kappa test score of 0.632 (SE of kappa = 0.157; 95% CI: 0.263–0.969; weighted kappa = 0.625).

3.1 Study Search and Selection

An electronic database search yielded a total of 116 studies. The titles and abstracts of 105 potentially relevant articles were evaluated after duplicate studies were removed. Based on title and abstract screening, 86 articles were eliminated. The full-text screening included the remaining 21 studies. As shown in the PRISMA flow diagram (Fig. 1), 5 studies were excluded for the following reasons: unavailable data, inappropriate study design, and unrelated outcomes. At the end of the selection process, 14 studies [5,22,33,48–58] meeting the inclusion criteria were eventually selected for systematic review and meta-analysis. The PRISMA checklist is presented as a Supplementary File.

3.2 Study Characteristics

Table 2 (Ref. [5,22,33,48–58]) and Supplementary Table 1 list the basic characteristics of the studies that were included. These studies were published between 2011 and 2022. Studies in neurodegenerative disorders were found in 43% (6 out of 14) of the included studies [48–51,53,56]. Diabetic mellitus was found in 29% (4 out of 14) of the studies [22,33,52,57]. Two of the remaining four studies were on cancer [5,55], while the other two were on cardiovascular diseases [54,58]. Both genders were included in the majority of the studies. In 8 of the 14 studies, researchers looked at safety measures and reported on adverse drug reactions [5,33,49,50,52,54,56,57]. Five of the studies included were from Japan [5,51,53,56,58], two from Iran [22,50] and Italy [33,54] and one from Poland [48], USA [49], Greece [52], Egypt [55], and Thailand [57].

3.3 Intervention Characteristics

All of the studies are RCT that provide clinical evidence for the efficacy of L-carnosine as an individual supplement or in combination with other supplements. Of 14 studies, 4 studies used anserine as an add-on supplement with L-carnosine in neurodegenerative disorders [48,51,53,56], 2 studies used zinc as an additional supplement with L-carnosine [5,58], and 6 studies did not use any supplements with L-carnosine [22,49,50,54,55,57]. Federici et al. [33], study used urea and arginine as supplements along with L-carnosine. Karkabounas et al. [52], study used α-Linolenic acid (ALA) and thiamine as a supplement to L-carnosine for diabetes mellitus. As an intervention group regimen for L-carnosine supplementation, one of the included studies used chicken meat extract (CME) containing 40% carnosine related compounds (CRC) [48]. The dose and mode of administration, on the other hand, differed significantly between the included studies.

3.4 Outcome

Across the studies that were considered, a wide range of outcome measures were observed. L-carnosine has been shown in cancer studies to minimize treatment-related toxicities [5,55]. In addition, Magdy et al. [55], examined neuropathy grade and rated it using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events-version 4 (NCI-CTCAE-v4) system, revealing that L-carnosine improved OIPN by reducing the pathogenic triad of inflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis. The Kitagawa et al. [5], study looked at the incidence of Grade ≥3 oral mucositis and concluded that the PZ lozenge could be helpful in preventing oral mucositis associated with chemotherapy in HSCT patients.

Lombardi et al. [54], study had the primary outcome measure to evaluate the exercise parameters [distance at 6-minute walk test (6-MWT)] and cardiopulmonary exercise test) and the effects on QoL [EuroQol-5D (EQ-5D) and visual analogue scale (VAS)] among congestive heart failure (CHF) patients and concluded that L-carnosine added to conventional therapy may improve exercise performance and QoL. The zinc concentration, cardiac enzymes, and the level of inflammation markers in blood and urine samples were analysed in the Yoshikawa et al. [58], study, and it was found that it improves cardiac function post-acute myocardial infarction (AMI), and that this effect may be due to anti-inflammatory processes through the suppression of IL-6. Ejection fraction was a common outcome in cardiovascular diseases between these 2 studies [54,58].

Federici et al. [33], study examined the evolution of a 9-point grading xerosis assessment scale (XAS) score and a 4-point grading overall cutaneous score (OCS) score in
Table 2. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age (range)</th>
<th>Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Other supplements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Studies in cancer patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdy R et al. (2018) [55]</td>
<td>45.9 ± 8.6</td>
<td>15/18</td>
<td>Control drug regimen plus L-carnosine</td>
<td>500 mg/day, orally</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Neupathy grade assessment according to NCI-CTCAE-v4 and analysis of oxidative (NF-κB, MDA, NF-κB) and inflammatory (TNF-α) markers (caspase-3)</td>
<td>Bio-chemical markers (BUN, AST, ALT, T.Bil, Hb, PLT and TLC)</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>In both arms a significant correlation was only evident between TNF-α and the neuropathy grading score (p &lt; 0.03); the correlation analysis was significantly positive between NF-κB and both TNF-α and caspase 3</td>
<td>L-carnosine proved to improve OIPN by amelioration of the pathophysiological triad of inflammation, oxidative stress and apoptosis. These results led to the recommendation of the safe add-on therapy of carnosine to chemotherapeutic agents, especially those associated with CIPN</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitagawa J et al. (2020) [5]</td>
<td>58 (29–72)*</td>
<td>24/23</td>
<td>Control drug regimen plus PZ lozenges</td>
<td>18.75 mg four times a day, orally</td>
<td>Patients were assessed daily from beginning of chemotherapy until 35 days after transplantation</td>
<td>Incidence of Grade ≥3 oral mucositis</td>
<td>Incidence and severity of oral mucositis, xerostomia and taste disturbance. The incidence of other non-haematological AEs, the median time of engraftment and rate of engraftment</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>The incidence of Grade ≥2 oral mucositis was significantly reduced in the intervention group compared to the control group (44.7% in control group vs 22.0% in the intervention group, p = 0.025)</td>
<td>The incidence of Grade ≥2 but not Grade ≥3 oral mucositis was significantly reduced by preventive treatment with PZ lozenges. The PZ lozenge preparation had no influence on engraftment. The lozenge preparation was highly safe without any serious side effects. Therefore, PZ lozenge may be useful for prevention of oral mucositis associated with chemotherapy in patients undergoing HSCT</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Studies in cardiovascular disease patients

| Lombardi C et al. (2014) Italy [54] | 62.3 ± 9.9 | 22/3 | Control drug regimen plus L-carnosine | 500 mg/day orally before food at morning | Patients were evaluated at baseline and after 6 months | The changes from baseline in exercise parameters (distance at 6-MWT and cardiopulmonary exercise test) and the effects on QoL (EQ-5D and VAS) | Echocardiographic parameters, LVEF, haematological and biochemical assessments | Nil | After 6 months of treatment with orodispersible L-carnosine was associated with an improvement in 6MWT distance (419 ± 85 to 478 ± 80 meters; p = 0.014). QoL was significantly improved in the intervention group, compared to the control group, as shown by EQ-5D test (-0.56 ± 1.00 in L-carnosine group vs 0.40 ± 1.7 in control group; p = 0.018) and VAS score (11.0 ± 17.7 in L-carnosine group vs -5.8 ± 12.3 control group; p < 0.0001) | L-carnosine, added to conventional therapy, may improve exercise performance and QoL in ambulatory patients with stable CHF | Low risk |
Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine Dose &amp; route</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yoshikawa F et al. (2019)[58]</td>
<td>69.2 ± 14.6</td>
<td>61 ± 10</td>
<td>18/8</td>
<td>23/1</td>
<td>300 mg clopidogrel and 200 mg aspirin pre-PCI. Heparin was administered in the catheterization laboratory. After PCI, patients continued to take 100 mg aspirin and 75 mg clopidogrel daily until the follow-up of the study.</td>
<td>Control drug regimen plus PZ. 75 mg Zinc (Zinc and L-carnosine)</td>
<td>75 mg twice a day</td>
<td>Patients were evaluated at baseline and after 9 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Studies in diabetes mellitus patients

| Federici A et al. (2015)[13] | 61 ± 62 ± 9 | 12/13 | 8/17 | SEC UC | Urea (5%) | XAS score (from 0 to 8) | UC induced greater hydration than SEC (p = 0.001) with a 91% reduction at week 32 in XAS score vs. baseline. After 4 weeks, compared with the SEC treated group, the XAS score in the UC treated group was significantly lower. OCS was reduced by 27% from baseline to end of the study in the UC group, and increased by 8% in the SEC group (p = 0.02; between groups) | UC based cream increases skin hydration and alleviates the condition of severe skin dryness in T2DM patients in comparison with a control SEC product, with a greater efficacy observed as early as 4 weeks into treatment. In addition, this approach is effective in improving significantly severe foot xerosis and fissuring |

| Houjeghani S et al. (2017)[22] | 40.4 ± 5.1 | 43.0 ± 7.6 | 12/9 | 10/13 | Microcrystalline cellulose with anti-diabetic medications | Two capsules of 500 mg (1 g/day) after each meal | FBS, TC, LDL, HbA1c, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, HOMA-JIR, and TG, serum levels of pentosidine CML, sRAGE, TNF-α, IL-10 and IL-6 | L-carnosine supplementation resulted in significant decrease in fat mass and an increase in fat free mass in the intervention group compared to the placebo group (1.5% and 1.7%, respectively) (p < 0.05). A significant reduction in FBS (13.1 mg/dL), HbA1c (0.6%), serum levels of TG (29.8 mg/dL), CML (91.8 ng/mL), TNF-α was detected in the L-carnosine group compared to placebo group (p < 0.05). In the L-carnosine group a significant reduction in serum pentosidine levels (2.8 ng/mL) was observed compared to those at baseline (p < 0.05) | L-carnosine resulted in a decrease of fat mass and an increase in fat free mass, better control of FBS, HbA1c, and decreased levels of TG, CML and TNF-α levels with almost no meaningful effect on HOMA-IR, TC, LDL, HOMA-JIR, serum sRAGE, IL-6 and IL-10 | Low risk cardiac function post-AMI. This effect may be due to anti-inflammatory processes through the suppression of IL-6. |
Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine Dose &amp; route</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Other supplements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karkabounas S et al. (2018)</td>
<td>57 ± 5</td>
<td>35/47</td>
<td>Placebo, L-carnosine, thiamine</td>
<td>6 mg/kg three times a day orally</td>
<td>17 weeks</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Glucose and Hba1c levels were significantly reduced after supplementation (135.7–19.5 mg/dl vs. 126.5–16.8 mg/dl and 8.3%–0.3% vs. 6.0%–0.58%, respectively, p &lt; 0.05); however, insulin was significantly increased (3.4–0.7 IU/mL vs. 6.8–0.2 IU/mL, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>Intake of an individualized L-carnosine, and thiamine supplement effectively reduced glucose concentration in T2DM patients, by potentially increasing insulin production from the pancreas. The supplement had only a minor effect on insulin sensitivity since the steep increase in insulin levels was accompanied by a small but significant drop in glucose level</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siriwattanasit N et al. (2021)</td>
<td>57.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>4/16</td>
<td>Placebo, L-carnosine</td>
<td>2 g/day orally. The dose was split into 2 × 500 mg taken after breakfast and dinner</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>The change of urinary TGF-β level</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Levels of UACR significantly increased (+16.9% of the baseline values) (between-group difference p &lt; 0.05). However, BUN, sCr, GFR and other biochemical parameters remained unchanged during the study period; indicating an additional renoprotective effect from conventional therapy</td>
<td>The study showed that oral carnosine supplementation could reduce urinary TGF-β level in T2DM with diabetic nephropathy, but without significant effects on urine albumin, indicating an additional renoprotective effect from conventional therapy</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Studies in neurodegenerative disorder patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine Dose &amp; route</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Other supplements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chengappa KN et al. (2012)</td>
<td>46.5 ± 9.0</td>
<td>23/14</td>
<td>Placebo (rice powder and magnesium stearate)</td>
<td>500 mg, Titration of L-carnosine (or placebo) 500 mg/p.o. twice daily in the second week, 1500 mg/day in the third week and finally to 1000 mg/day after breakfast and dinner</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Neurocognition: Set shifting test; basal reaction time, Start imitation reaction time, End imitation reaction time, End reversal reaction time, End reversal reaction time and Reversal errors; (ii) Strategic target detection test: Strategic efficiency and Perseverative errors</td>
<td>Psychopathology, QoL and SF-36 scales: QoL and the SF-36 survey including the PCS and MCS summary score</td>
<td>On the strategic target detection test, the L-carnosine group displayed significantly improved strategic efficiency and made fewer perseverative errors compared with placebo. Other cognitive tests showed no significant differences between treatments.</td>
<td>These preliminary findings suggest that L-carnosine merits further consideration as adjunctive treatment to improve executive dysfunction in persons with schizophrenia</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Drug regimen</td>
<td>L-carnosine Dose &amp; route</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Other supplements</td>
<td>Outcome measures</td>
<td>ADR</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>ROB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budzen S et al. (2014) [48]</td>
<td>80.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>13/13</td>
<td>Placebo (hydrolysate obtained after chicken meat enzymatic treatment)</td>
<td>CME containing 40% of CRC components (2.1 ratio of anserine to carnosine) was prepared following breast meat extraction with water (cold and at 80 °C) and spray drying</td>
<td>Patients were assessed for cognitive function and physical capacity at baseline and 13 week follow-up supplementation</td>
<td>(i) The cognitive function, presence of depressive symptoms, physical capacity, body measurements, BP and HR; (ii) Behavioural measurement: The MMSE and STMS to assess cognitive functions, GDS to assess the presence of depressive symptoms, CDR to evaluate the severity of dementia</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>The groups differed significantly at baseline according to certain clinical and physical parameters, such as HR before exercise (p &lt; 0.001). The perceived exertion differed significantly (p &lt; 0.05) in the CRC group between baseline and follow-up results, suggesting that exercises were seen as less comprehensive. The mean value of the MMSE scores increased significantly in the CRC group (p &lt; 0.001), the mean value of STMS scores showed significant increase in CRC group (p &lt; 0.001). The value of MMSE correlated negatively with age. The spearman rank correlation coefficient was (p = 0.25)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisatsune T et al. (2016) [51]</td>
<td>70.6 ± 5.1</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>Placebo (43 mg/day L-lysine) and 1-L-histidine (150 mg/day)</td>
<td>Anserine and carnosine (3:1) formula daily</td>
<td>Patients were evaluated at baseline and after 3 months</td>
<td>(i) A dietary survey was conducted using a semi-quantitative method as reported. Inventory of food intake during the 3-month test Period; (ii) Cognitive testing: WMS-LM1, WMS-LM2, ADAScog, HDS, SF-36 MCS and SF-36 PCS, (iii) Blood samples and immunoblotting: Concentrations of 27 cytokines in serum samples collected from the volunteers at the baseline and during the follow-up; (iv) Microarray analysis: PBMCs from volunteers were used for total RNA extraction. The total RNA quality was assessed; (v) Acquisition of human MRI data and MRI data analysis</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Among the tests, delayed recall verbal memory assessed by theWMS-LM showed significant preservation in the ACS group, compared to the placebo group (p = 0.0128). Blood analysis revealed a decreased secretion of inflammatory cytokines, including CCL-2 and IL-8, in the ACS group. MRI analysis using arterial spin labeling showed a suppression in the age-related decline in brain blood flow in the posterior cingulate cortex area in the ACS group, compared to the placebo group (p = 0.0248). In another RCT, delayed recall verbal memory showed significant preservation in the ACS group, compared to the placebo group (p = 0.0202)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age (M/F M)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine Dose &amp; route</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Other supplements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Katakura Y et al. (2017) [53]</td>
<td>65.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>60.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>10/20 10/20</td>
<td>Placebo (43 mg/day L-lysine) and L-histidine (150 mg/day)</td>
<td>Anserine and carnosine (3:1) formula daily</td>
<td>Patients were evaluated at baseline and after 3 months</td>
<td>(i) A dietary survey was conducted using a semi-quantitative method as reported. Inventory of food intake during the 3-month test period; (ii) Cognitive testing: WMS-LM1, WMS-LM2, ADAScog, BDI, SF-36 MCS and SF-36 PCS; (iii) Microarray analysis: PBMCs from volunteers were used for total RNA extraction. The total RNA quality was assessed; (iv) qRT-PCR: Gene expression levels were normalized to the corresponding β-actin level.</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>A microarray analysis and subsequent qRT-PCR analysis of PBMCs showed decreased expression of CCL24, an inflammatory chemokine ($p &lt; 0.05$). Verbal memory, assessed using the WMS-LM, was preserved in the ACS group. An age-restricted sub-analysis showed significant verbal memory preservation by ACS in participants who were in their 60s (active, n = 12; placebo, n = 9; $p = 0.048$) and 70s (active, n = 7; placebo, n = 11; $p &lt; 0.017$). The suppression of CCL24 expression was greatest in people who were in their 70s ($p &lt; 0.01$). There was a significant correlation between the preservation of verbal memory and suppression of CCL24 expression in the group that was in the 70s (Poisson correlation, $r = 0.46$, $p &lt; 0.05$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACS may preserve verbal episodic memory, probably owing to CCL24 suppression in the blood, especially in elderly participants. | Low risk |
| Ghajar A et al. (2018) [50] | 24.93 ± 6.78 | 22.00 ± 7.76 | 28/2 26/4 | Risperidone (up to 6 mg/day) + Placebo. Patients with sleep problems received 1 mg lorazepam every night for the first week. | Control drug regimen plus L-carnosine 2 g/day, participants received 500 mg/day of L-carnosine for 5 days; on day 6, the dose of L-carnosine was increased to 1000 mg/day in two divided doses for 5 days. On day 11, patients received the maximum fixed dose of 2 g/day in 2 divided dose | Patients were evaluated at baseline and 2, 4, 6 and 8 week | The difference in PANSS negative subscale score reduction | Nil | Not mentioned | L-carnosine resulted in greater improvement of negative scores as well as total PANSS subscale scores but not positive subscale scores compared to placebo. HDRS scores and its changes did not differ between the two groups. Both groups demonstrated a constant ERS score during the trial course. Frequency of other side effects was not significantly different between the two groups. In a multiple regression analysis model (controlled for positive, general psychopathology, depressive and extrapyramidal symptoms, as well as other variables), the treatment group significantly predicted changes in primary negative symptoms | 
L-carnosine as an add-on to risperidone showed good tolerability and significant beneficial effects on negative symptoms of patients with chronic stable schizophrenia | Low risk |
Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age (M/FM)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>L-carnosine dose &amp; route</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Other supplements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>ROB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masuoka N et al. (2019) [56]</td>
<td>73.6 ± 6.1</td>
<td>72.9 ± 8.8</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Anserine and carnosine</td>
<td>1 g of ACS (250 mg of carnosine)</td>
<td>Patients were evaluated at baseline and 4, 8 and 12 week</td>
<td>(i) Inventory of Anserine and Carnosine in the Normal Diet; (ii) Blood Analysis: APOE4 Genotyping and ELISA for Amyloid Beta 42; (iii) Cognitive Testing: MMSE, gloCDR, CDRpos, WMS-1, WMS-2, ADAS and GDS; (iv) EEG Recording; (v) Adverse Events and Safety</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>The score improvement in the gloCDR was superior in the active group than placebo ($p = 0.023$). No beneficial effect in the active group was detected in the other psychometric tests including the MMSE, WMS and ADAS. When APOE4 positive (APOE4 (+)) or negative (APOE4 (-)) subjects were separately analyzed, beneficial change in the APOE4 (+) subjects was observed in MMSE ($p = 0.025$) as well as in gloCDR ($p = 0.026$)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age-data expressed as mean ± SD, * median (range).  
MFM, Male/Female; ADRs, Adverse drug reactions; ROB, Risk of Bias; FLOFOX-6, oxaliplatin, 5 fluorouracil and leucovorin; NCI-CTCAE-v4, National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; Nrf-2, human nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor; MDA, malondialdehyde; NF-kB, nuclear factor-kappa B; TNF-α, Tumor necrosis factor-alpha; bUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; AST, Aspartate Amino Transferase; ALT, Alanine transaminase; T.Bil, Total bilirubin; Hb, Hemoglobin; PLT, Platelets; TLC, Total leukocyte count; OIPN, Oxaliplatin induced peripheral neuropathy; ARA-C, cytarabine; CPA, cyclophosphamide; Flu, fludarabin; ETOP, etoposide; MCNU, raniomistine; L-PAM, melphalan; BU, busulfan; ATG, thymoglobulin; AEs, Adverse events; CIPN, Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; PZ, Polaprezinc; AE, Adverse event; sCr, Serum creatinine; WBC, White blood cells; HSCT, Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; ACEInhibitors, Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitors; ARB, Angiotensin Receptor Blockers; 6-MWT, 6-minute walk test; QoL, Quality of life; EQ-5D, EuroQol-5D; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; CHF, Congestive Heart Failure; PCI, Percutaneous coronary intervention; CRP, C-reactive protein; IL-6, Interleukin-6; EJ, Ejection fraction; LVDD, Left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LVDs, Left ventricular end-systolic dimension; AMI, Acute myocardial infarction; CPK, Creatine phosphokinase; SEC, Glycerin-based emollient cream; UC, Urea, arginine and carnosine cream; XAS, Xerosis assessment scale; OCS, Overall cutaneous score; T2DM, Type 2 diabetes mellitus; FBS, Fasting blood sugar; TC, Total Cholesterol; HDL-C, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c, Glycated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, Homeostatic model assessment of insulin secretion; TG, Triglycerides; CML, Carboxymethyl lysine; sRAGE, Soluble receptor of advanced glycation end products; IL-1β, Interleukin-1β; ALA, α-lipoic acid; ADP, Adenosine diphosphate; PAF, Platelet activating factor; TGF-β, Transforming growth factor beta; UACR, Urine albumin-creatinine ratio; GFR, Glomerular filtration rate; p.o, per oral; QoL, Quality of life interview; SF-36MCs, 36-item short form survey for mental-health component summary scores; SF-36PCS, 36-item short form survey for physical-health component summary scores; CME, Chicken meat extract; CRC, carnosine related compounds; MMSE, Mini mental state examination; STMS, Short Test of mental status; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; BP, Blood pressure; HR, Heart rate; WMS-LM1, Wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory immediate recall; WMS-LM2, Wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory delayed recall; ADASCog, Alzheimer’s disease Assessment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; PIMC, Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; RNA, Ribonucleic acid; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; ACS, Anserine and Carnosine Supplementation; RCT, Randomized controlled trial; IL-8, Interleukin-8; qRT-PCR, Real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells PANS, Positive and negative syndrome scale; ESSRS, Extrapyramidal symptom rating scale; HDRS, Hamilton depression rating scale; APOE4, Apolipoprotein E4; ELISA, Enzyme-linked immunosassay; EEG, Electroencephalogram; MCI, Mild cognitive impairment; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; NAT, Neuronal activity topography.
T2DM patients, which enhances skin hydration and alleviates the state of severe skin dryness. Fasting blood sugar (FBS), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting insulin, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), homeostatic model assessment of insulin secretion (HOMA-β), triglycerides (TG) and serum levels of pentosidine Carboxymethyl lysine (CML), soluble receptor of advanced glycation end products (sRAGE), TNF-α, interleukin-1β (IL-1β), IL-6 were examined in the Karkabounas et al. [52], study. They found that L-carnosine improved glycemic control, lipid profile, AGES, and low-grade inflammation without changing soluble receptor of AGES, IL-6 and IL-1β.

The glycemic profile, lipid profile, kidney function, liver function, tissue damage, thyroid function, cancer markers, electrolytes, minerals, oxidative stress, platelet activating factor (PAF), arachidonic acid, epinephrine, thrombin, and collagen were all examined in the Karkabounas et al. [52], study, which found that L-carnosine as an added supplement reduced glucose concentration in T2DM patients by potentially increasing insulin production from the pancreas.

Siriwattanasit et al. [57], looked at the change in urine TGF-β levels in T2DM patients with diabetic nephropathy and showed lower urinary TGF-β levels. HbA1c, FBS, LDL-c, HDL-c, TG, and TC are typical laboratory variables examined in the included diabetic studies [22,52,57]. A comparable analysis of serum creatinine (serum Cr.) was found in [52,57] study.

Budzen et al. [48], study investigated cognitive function, the existence of depressive symptoms, physical capacity, body measures, blood pressure (BP), and heart rate (HR) in neurodegenerative disorder patients. They also looked at the mini mental state examination (MMSE) and short test of mental status (STMS) to test cognitive functions, the geriatric depression scale (GDS) to examine the presence of depressive symptoms, and the clinical dementia rating (CDR) to assess dementia severity, and found that L-carnosine supplementation in the elderly could have positive effects on cognitive functioning and physical capacity. Based on the results of a neurocognition set-shifting test and a strategic target detection test, L-carnosine was found to be an additional treatment to ameliorate executive dysfunction in people with schizophrenia [49]. The difference in positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) negative subscale score reduction and hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS) was used to assess changes in depressive symptoms, and L-carnosine as an add-on to risperidone was found to have good tolerability and considerable favourable effects on negative symptoms in individuals with chronic stable schizophrenia [50].

The outcome measured in the Hisatsume et al. [51], study included a semi-quantitative dietary survey; cognitive testing: Wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory immediate recall (WMS-LM1), Wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory delayed recall (WMS-LM2), Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale (ADAScog), Beck depression inventory (BDI), study, 36-item d physical health component summary (SF-36 MCS), and study, 36-item d physical health component summary (SF-36 PCS); blood samples and immunonassays; microarray analysis and acquisition of human Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data and MRI data analysis; and microarray analysis and acquisition of human MRI data. The Anserine and Carnosine (ACS) and placebo groups in this trial consumed the same amount of anserine and carnosine from their diets, according to the survey results.

Katakura et al. [33], evaluated dietary survey outcome measures using a semi-quantitative method; cognitive testing: WMS-LM1, WMS-LM2, ADAScog, BDI, SF-36 MCS and SF-36 PCS; microarray analysis and real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), which found that ACS may preserve verbal episodic memory, likely due to CCL24 suppression in the blood, especially in elderly participants.

Inventory of anserine and carnosine in the Normal Diet; Blood Analysis; Cognitive Testing: MMSE, gloCDR, CDRsob, WMS-1, WMS-2, ADAS and GDS; and electroencephalogram (EEG) recording were used in the Masuoka et al. [56], study, which showed that the results did not indicate that ACS has significant efficacy on cognitive change in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) subjects but might suggest that ACS has a beneficial effect in APOE4 (+) MCI subjects exists, and thereby, ACS might help to prevent a transition from MCI to AD as a secondary effect in APOE4 (+) MCI subjects.

WMS-1, WMS-2, and ADAS [51,33,56] were the most commonly used outcome measures in neurodegenerative disorder studies, followed by BDI, SF-36 MCS, and SF-36 PCS [51,53], GDS [48,51,56] and MMSE [48,56].

### 3.5 Risk of Bias and Quality of Reporting

Supplementary Table 2 shows the RoB assessment for each of the studies. All reports were critically examined using the standard checklist for the revised Cochrane RoB tool. The method of randomization is rarely described, despite the fact that randomization is an important tool to reduce bias. The method of randomization was not reported in any of the included trials, or there was insufficient information. Fourteen RCTs were analyzed for the effect of L-carnosine in various age-related diseases such as cancer [55], cardiovascular disease [54,58], diabetes mellitus [22,33,52,57] and neurodegenerative disorder [48–51,53,56].

The bias arising from randomization technique was “low risk” for all 14 included studies in a quality assessment using the Revised Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for RCT, whereas bias due to deviation from intended intervention
had “some concerns” for 5 studies [53,33,54,55,58] and low risk for the other 9 [22,48–53,56,57].

Bias for missing outcome data was found to be “low risk” in all of the included studies. Only one study [33] found a “low risk” of bias in outcome measurement, whereas the remaining 13 studies had “some concerns” about outcome measuring. All of the studies that were considered demonstrated a “low risk” of selecting the reported result. All of the studies were evaluated separately, and the aggregate assessment had a “low risk” of bias (Supplementary Table 2).

Only four studies [53,55,57] identified a “high risk” of allocation concealment in the selection bias (Fig. 2), and one study identified an “unclear risk” of allocation concealment in the selection bias [54]. Only six studies had a “high risk” of performance bias due to participant and personnel blinding [53,54,55,57,58]. However, in one trial, the outcome assessors were blinded [33]. The random sequence generation in selection bias was addressed in all of the studies. All of the papers were evaluated to see if the research question, study population, and L-carnosine indices were well described. Other causes of bias, such as medication pooling, dropouts, unit-of-analysis mistakes, design-specific bias, and conflicts of interest, were not mentioned in these studies.

3.6 Meta-Analysis

Primarily, we analysed whether or not L-carnosine supplementation had a positive impact on age-related diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and neurodegenerative disorders. We used 14 studies in our qualitative analysis [5,22,33,48–58]. Five studies were excluded from the quantitative synthesis [5,33,49,50,55] because they did not correlate with the outcome variables and did not report any data with the other included studies under each age-related disease.

3.6.1 Effect of L-Carnosine in Cardiovascular Disease Patients

Two studies [54,58] looked at the effects of L-carnosine on patients with cardiovascular disease. On the ejection fraction, there was no statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine and control groups [MD 95% CI = 0.82 (–2.38, 4.01); p = 0.62; p = 0.56; I² = 0%] (Fig. 3).

3.6.2 Effect of L-Carnosine in Diabetes Mellitus Patients

HbA1c, FBS, LDL-c, HDL-c, TGs, TCs, and serum Cr. were used to study the effect of L-carnosine in diabetes mellitus patients. Three studies (n = 248) [22,52,57] showed a statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine group and the control group on HbA1c [MD 95% CI = –1.25 (–2.49, –0.02); p = 0.05; p = 0.001; I² = 85%] (Fig. 4A) and FBS [MD 95% CI = –12.44 (–22.44, –2.44); p = 0.01; p = 0.40; I² = 0%] (Fig. 4B), favouring the L-carnosine group.

On the other hand, there was no statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine and control groups on the LDL-c [MD 95% CI = –4.70 (–13.33, 3.94); p = 0.29; p = 0.36; I² = 2%] (Fig. 4C), HDL-c [MD 95% CI = –0.50 (–3.82, 2.82); p = 0.77; p = 0.77; I² = 0%] (Fig. 4D), TGs [MD 95% CI = –0.42 (–6.02, 5.19); p = 0.88; p = 0.44; I² = 0%] (Fig. 4E) and TCs [MD 95% CI = –5.09 (–11.81, 1.63); p = 0.14; p = 0.60; I² = 0%] (Fig. 4F) in three studies (n = 248) [22,52,57]. L-carnosine does not alter the levels of serum creatinine [MD 95% CI = 0.06 (–0.12, 0.24); p = 0.51; p = 0.27; I² = 19%] in two studies (n = 204) [52,57] (Fig. 4G).

3.6.3. Effect of L-Carnosine in Neurodegenerative Disorder Patients

Variables such as WMS-1, WMS-2, ADAS, BDI, SF-36 MCS, SF-36 PCS, MMSE, and GDS were used to find the effect of L-carnosine in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. On WMS-1, the association between the three studies (n = 149) [51,53,56] revealed no statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine and control groups [MD 95% CI = 0.26 (–0.79, 0.26); p = 0.33; p = 0.75; I² = 0%] (Fig. 5A), however the same studies showed the statistically significant difference between the groups on WMS-2 [MD 95% CI = 1.34 (0.83, 1.85); p < 0.00001; p = 0.43; I² = 0%] (Fig. 5B).

On the ADAS, a statistically significant difference was observed between the L-carnosine and control groups of the three studies (n = 149) [51,53,56] [MD 95% CI = 0.98 (–1.55, –0.42); p = 0.0007; p = 0.86; I² = 0%] ruling the control group over the L-carnosine group (Fig. 5C). Similarly, on BDI, a statistically significant difference was observed between the L-carnosine and control groups of the two studies (n = 99) [51,53], favouring the control group over the L-carnosine group (Fig. 5D).

Pooling analysis of the SF-36 MCS [MD 95% CI = –0.25 (–1.29, 0.79); p = 0.64; p = 0.55; I² = 0%] and SF-36 PCS [MD 95% CI = 0.53 (–0.47, 1.52); p = 0.30; p = 0.71; I² = 0%], as shown in Fig. 5E,F, revealed that there was no statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine group and the control group in the two studies (n = 99) [51,53].

Meta-analysis of data from three studies (n = 140) [48,51,53] showed no statistically significant difference in MMSE score between the L-carnosine and control groups [MD 95% CI = 0.50 (–0.60, 1.60); p = 0.37; p = 0.97; I² = 0%] (Fig. 5G). Similarly, in GDI also no statistically significant difference between the L-carnosine and control groups in two studies (n = 101) [48,53] [MD 95% CI = 0.01 (–1.73, 1.76); p = 0.99; p = 0.97; I² = 0%] (Fig. 5H).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this review is to assess the effect of L-carnosine in the context of age-related illnesses and
makeweight in the literature, as well as to convey the relevance of existing data as evidence for clinical practise and future research. Clinical studies on the efficacy of L-carnosine have been widely published in the last decade, indicating a growing interest among health care professionals in the use of L-carnosine for the treatment of age-related illnesses. Egypt, Japan, Italy, Iran, Greece, Thailand, the United States, and Poland have all conducted studies that look at the preference for dietary/therapeutic intervention in L-carnosine, particularly in oriental medicine, in these countries.

L-carnosine has been found to have multimodal activity. Its impact on age-related diseases such cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurological problems is comprehensively reviewed in this paper. Fig. 6 depicts the mode of action of L-carnosine in the aforementioned
Fig. 4. Effect of L-carnosine in diabetes mellitus patients. (A) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of HbA1c in patients with diabetes mellitus. (B) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of FBS in patients with diabetes mellitus. (C) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of LDL-c in patients with diabetes mellitus. (D) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of HDL-c in patients with diabetes mellitus. (E) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of TGs in patients with diabetes mellitus. (F) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of TCs in patients with diabetes mellitus. (G) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of serum Cr. in patients with diabetes mellitus. HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; FBS, fasting blood sugar; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TGs, triglycerides; TCs, total cholesterol; Cr, creatinine; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; IV, inverse variance; SE standard error.

illnesses. Apart from the selected 14 RCTs, the therapeutic potential of L-carnosine was studied in pre-clinical and other clinical (non-RCTs) studies. The anti-cancer effect of L-carnosine has been investigated in animals for various cancer types such as ovarian cancer [59], HER2/neu cancer [60], bladder cancer [61], gastric cancer [62,63], hepatic cancer [64] and colon cancer [65]. L-carnosine has also been demonstrated to help with chemotherapy-induced...
Fig. 5. Effect of L-carnosine in neurodegenerative disorder patients. (A) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of WMS-1 in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (B) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of WMS-2 in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (C) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of ADAS in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (D) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of BDI in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (E) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of SF-36 MCS in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (F) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of MMSE in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. (G) Effect of L-carnosine treated versus control on measures of GDS in patients with neurodegenerative disorder. GDS, geriatric depression scale; WMS-1, wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory immediate recall; WMS-2, wechsler memory scale-revised logical memory delayed recall; ADAS, Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale; BDI, beck depression inventory; SF-36 MCS, 36-item short form survey for mental-health component summary scores; SF-36 PCS, 36-item short form survey for physical-health component summary scores; MMSE, mini mental state examination; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; IV, inverse variance; SE, standard error.

L-carnosine has clinical evidence for its action against chemotherapy-induced toxicities [66–68]. L-carnosine has clinical evidence for its action against chemotherapy-induced toxicities [66–68].
suggests that L-carnosine improves functional capability in ischemia circumstances [71–78]. Similarly, pre-clinical evidence from diabetes studies showed that L-carnosine had an effect on diabetes [79,80] and its complications [81–83]. Pre-clinical and clinical (other than RCTs) studies from neurodegenerative disorders explored the effect of L-carnosine on cognitive decline and neurophysiological effects [84–88].

This systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature presents data using the PRISMA guidelines and the PICOS approach. The 14 RCTs included in the study recruited a total of 794 individuals. Neurodegenerative studies had 329 (41%) participants, diabetes studies had 216 (27%) participants, cancer studies had 149 (18%) participants, and cardiovascular studies had 100 (12.5%) participants.

When we looked at all of the studies on the effects of L-carnosine supplementation on age-related disorders, we found that just 9 of them provided adequate data to make a quantitative comparison of variables. In the remaining 5 studies, 2 of the cancer studies [5,55] investigated the mechanism of dissimilar toxicities induced by chemotherapy, and 1 of the diabetes studies [33] investigated L-carnosine on severe xerosis, which is one of a skin complication of diabetes, where they measured skin hydration, so it wasn’t eligible for quantitative comparison, and the other 2 studies [49,50] looked at neurodegenerative diseases and weren’t eligible because of their dissimilar assessments.

The majority of the studies included in this meta-analysis used a combination of anserine and L-carnosine. Anserine is a natural derivative of carnosine that is commonly used because human carnosinase does not cleave it. Anserine and carnosine have been shown to have similar physiological activities [89]. Just a few of the studies employed PZ, a synthetic carnosine derivative in which zinc and carnosine are linked in a one-to-one ratio to form a polymeric structure. Several companies are currently marketing this product as a zinc dietary supplement with “additional
value for gastric health” [90]. For a better understanding of L-carnosine’s therapeutic potential against age-related disorders, future clinical research focusing on L-carnosine should attempt L-carnosine alone.

Our meta-analysis of diabetes studies [22,52,57] suggests that the intervention group (L-carnosine alone or in combination with the control group regimen, or with or without add-on supplements) can improve FBS and HbA1c levels in diabetes patients. Despite the fact that individual studies showed increases in exercise capacity and functional capacity, statistical significance was not found in the intervention group compared to the control group in cardiovascular studies [54,58]. Neurodegenerative studies [51,53,56] suggest that L-carnosine improves memory deficiencies among elderly populations according to WMS-2 scale but other than that failed to show significant results for other tools. It’s likely that L-carnosine affects specific cognitive functions, which may be observed in the clinical setting using more specialized techniques rather than a broad assessment of cognitive status [91].

According to the Caruso et al. [91], study T2DM is known to be a risk factor for cognitive impairment and AD, and different neurobiological links have been identified between T2DM and AD, such as insulin resistance, low-grade inflammation, increased oxidative stress, and AGE accumulation. In accordance with Caruso et al. [91], study and our meta-analysis, L-carnosine has anti-diabetic and cognitive properties. To validate the therapeutic potential of L-carnosine, more double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trials on age-related illnesses are needed.

The diversity in measures (for example, different scales for cognitive function) used across studies made meta-analysis challenging, and as a result, not all studies included in the systematic review could be included in the meta-analysis, resulting in lower statistical power and potential overestimation of the results.

5. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

The findings of the review indisputably demonstrated a significant beneficial effect of L-carnosine only for diabetes mellitus and cognitive impairment, but not for cardiovascular illnesses. L-carnosine research in cancer was solely focused on chemotherapy-induced toxicity. Its anticancer abilities have not been investigated. Future research should use preclinical evidence to look at anticancer potential of L-carnosine in a variety of cancer patients to fill this gap in the literature. To establish the therapeutic potential of L-carnosine in various age-related disorders, more RCTs with larger sample size are needed.
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