**Predicting Thromboembolism in Hospitalized Patients with Ventricular Mural Thrombus**
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Figure and Table Legends

**Supplementary Fig. 1. Flow diagram to show the inclusion and exclusion criteria.** 498 patients were found with ventricular thrombus and 338 patients were included in the analysis. †Others included 5 patients who had a suspected diagnosis of thrombophilia at discharge. N, numbers of patients.

**Supplementary Fig. 2. Changes in 46 factors with the penalty parameter (Lambda).**

**Supplementary Fig. 3. ROC curves of Model 1 for predicting the risk of thromboembolism.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the ROC curve.

**Supplementary Fig. 4. ROC curves of Model 1 and Model 2 for predicting the risk of thromboembolism.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

**Supplementary Fig. 5. Calibration plots for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in the validation set of Model 1.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability.

**Supplementary Fig. 6. Calibration plots for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in the validation set of Model 2.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability.

**Supplementary Fig. 7. DCA of the novel nomogram for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in Model 1 and Model 2.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability; DCA, decision curve analysis.

**Supplementary Table 1. Main factors at discharge with the reference of baseline value in the training group and validation group**

Variables are presented as n (%).

Abbreviations: N, numbers of patients; FDP, fibrin degradation products; NT-proBNP, N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
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**Supplementary Fig. 1. Flow diagram to show the inclusion and exclusion criteria.** 498 patients were found with ventricular mural thrombus and 338 patients were included in the analysis. †Others included 5 patients who had a suspected diagnosis of thrombophilia at discharge. N, numbers of patients.



**Supplementary Fig. 2. Changes in 46 factors with the penalty parameter (Lambda).**
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**Supplementary Fig. 3. ROC curves of Model 1 for predicting the risk of thromboembolism.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the ROC curve.
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**Supplementary Fig. 4. ROC curves of Model 1 and Model 2 for predicting the risk of thromboembolism.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
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**Supplementary Fig. 5. Calibration plots for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in the validation set of Model 1.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability.
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**Supplementary Fig. 6. Calibration plots for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in the validation set of Model 2.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability.
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**Supplementary Fig. 7.** **DCA of the novel nomogram for predicting the risk of thromboembolism in Model 1 and Model 2.** (A) Training set. (B) Validation set. X-axis, bootstrap-predicted probability; Y-axis, actual probability; DCA, decision curve analysis.

Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Main factors at discharge with the reference of baseline value in the training group and validation group

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total**  **(N=338)** | **Training group**  **(N=238)** | **Validation group**  **(N=100)** | **P value** |
| D-dimer, ug/ml |  |  |  | 0.937 |
| -1 ~ +1fold | 201 (59.5) | 143 (60.1) | 58 (58) |  |
| +1fold~ | 42 (12.4) | 29 (12.2) | 13 (13) |  |
| ~-1fold | 95 (28.1) | 66 (27.7) | 29 (29) |  |
| FDP, ug/ml |  |  |  | 0.392 |
| -1 ~ +1fold | 277 (82) | 191 (80.3) | 86 (86) |  |
| +1fold~ | 26 (7.7) | 21 (8.8) | 5 (5) |  |
| ~-1fold | 35 (10.4) | 26 (10.9) | 9 (9) |  |
| NT-proBNP, pg/ml |  |  |  | 0.140 |
| -1 ~ +1fold | 177 (52.4) | 122 (51.3) | 55 (55) |  |
| +1fold~ | 38 (11.2) | 32 (13.4) | 6 (6) |  |
| ~-1fold | 123 (36.4) | 84 (35.3) | 39 (39) |  |

Variables are presented as n (%).

**Abbreviations:** N, numbers of patients; FDP, fibrin degradation products; NT-proBNP, N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.