

Editorial

Cardioembolic Stroke: A Matter of PreventionMarialuisa Zedde^{1,*}, Rosario Pascarella²¹Neurology Unit, Department of Neuromotor Physiology and Rehabilitation, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, 42122 Reggio Emilia, Italy²Neuroradiology Unit, Department of Radiology, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, 42122 Reggio Emilia, Italy*Correspondence: zedde.marialuisa@ausl.re.it (Marialuisa Zedde)

Academic Editor: Jerome L. Fleg

Submitted: 17 December 2022 Revised: 22 December 2022 Accepted: 26 December 2022 Published: 11 January 2023

Cardioembolic stroke represents a composite and heterogeneous etiopathogenetic category, including a wide range of diseases from atrial fibrillation (AF) to hypokinetic heart disease to takotsubo cardiomyopathy up to endocarditis and complicated aortic atheromatosis. Two reasons make this stroke subtype particularly relevant: first, stroke due to cardioembolism is more severe than stroke due to other etiologies [1]; second, the incidence of cardioembolic stroke is rising despite an overall decrease of stroke incidence in high-income countries [2]. Cardioembolism globally accounts for about 25% of ischemic strokes [3] and a main role is played by AF, if only for its prevalence in an aging population and for its therapeutic implications in primary and secondary prevention. Indeed, AF causes at least an half of cardioembolic strokes and, in a population setting, one of the strongest risk factors for the development of AF is age for both genders, as evident in the Rotterdam Study [4]. The overall prevalence of AF was 5.5%, rising from 0.7% in the age group 55–59 years to 17.8% in those aged 85 years and above and the lifetime risk to develop AF at the age of 55 years was 23.8% in men and 22.2% in women [4]. This issue has an impact on the evaluation of benefit-to-risk ratio for anticoagulant treatment, which is a paramount stone of the prevention in AF patients. Unfortunately, both the bleeding and the embolic risk increase proportionately with the increasing age both in patients taking vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) and in patients taking direct anticoagulants (DOACs), in real life and in clinical trials. Moreover, the bleeding risk of patients taking DOACs cannot be reliably estimated using the HAS-BLED score and, obviously, it includes not only brain bleeding, which is associated with a severe prognosis whatever anticoagulant was taken, but also systemic bleeding events. Apart from the well known increase of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients taking DOACs, real life data comparing the safety profile of the individual DOACs are substantially missing. The systematic review of Archontakis-Barakakis *et al.* [5] addresses this issue considering major hemorrhages (MH) in patients anticoagulated with VKAs and DOACs for non valvular AF. The authors found that the MH risk associated with Rivaroxaban use was higher than the risk with Dabigatran use [HR (hazard ratio): 1.32, 95% CI (confidence interval): 1.21–1.45] but similar to VKA use (HR: 0.94,

95% CI: 0.87–1.02) and the MH risk associated with Apixaban use was lower than the risk with Dabigatran use (HR: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.64–0.88) [5]. These data might be particularly relevant in comorbid and elderly patients, although some issues in increasing the risk of intracranial bleeding (e.g., small vessel disease neuroimaging markers) are not included.

When an acute ischemic stroke occurs, in particular when the patient is taking an anticoagulant drug, one of the main reperfusion treatment is the endovascular approach, well summarized in the review of Bucke *et al.* [6]. The endovascular treatment of acute stroke gained a strong evidence of efficacy and the indications are progressively expanding, both because of an extension of the time window from symptoms onset and the treatment of distal vessels through a tumultuous development of technologies and materials. Moreover, novel techniques are developing, such as the direct aspiration first-pass technique (ADAPT) or a combined stent retriever and distal aspiration approach. In this regard, if AF has no effect in the successful rate of endovascular treatment and no difference in outcome between large vessel occlusion stroke patients with and without AF was demonstrated in a large meta-analysis [7], thrombus histology may help to define the etiology of ischemic stroke and in particular to orient to a cardioembolic source [8]. These data are perfectly coherent with the finding that the histology of thrombi from patients with stroke of suspected cardioembolic origin and prior anticoagulant therapy does not differ from those without prior anticoagulant therapy, but both differ from non-cardioembolic thrombi [9].

Despite its efficacy in preventing ischemic stroke and systemic embolism in AF, anticoagulant drugs are still underused and the development of strategies to increase the awareness and the compliance of patients in taking the therapy is one of the main issue, analyzed in the systematic review of Baers *et al.* [10]. In particular, patient decision aids may be effective on the choice of and adherence to stroke prevention therapy in individuals with AF, but they have a variable impact on it and have to be integrated and implemented.

Meanwhile, several well designed population studies aimed to find strong and easily achievable biomarkers to predict the prognosis of stroke patients in order to better



plan the pathways of care. In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES-III) study [11] one of these biomarkers was serum 5 beta-2 microglobulin (B2M), tested in 4914 US adults (mean age = 63.0 years, 44.3% male) followed-up for a median of 19.4 years. The authors found that B2M may be a marker of stroke and all-cause mortality but the study did not provide a separate analysis according to the etiology of stroke.

Several fields are still incompletely explored and therefore the evidence level is low. For example the treatment of embolic sources different from AF has not been defined in the same way as AF and conditions as low systolic function, aortic arch atheromas, valvular heart diseases, dilated cardiopathy have different implications for the choice of antithrombotic therapy. Moreover, the incorporation of neuroimaging items in composite scores for predicting embolic and hemorrhagic risk in stroke patients with different antithrombotics is a promising strategy [12], but further evidence is needed.

Author Contributions

MZ and RP designed the paper; MZ wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. Marialuisa Zedde and Rosario Pascarella are serving as the Guest editors of this journal. We declare that Marialuisa Zedde and Rosario Pascarella had no involvement in the peer review of this article and has no access to information regarding its peer review. Full responsibility for the editorial process for this article was delegated to Jerome L. Fleg.

References

- [1] Lin HJ, Wolf PA, Kelly-Hayes M, Beiser AS, Kase CS, Benjamin EJ, *et al.* Stroke severity in atrial fibrillation. The Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1996; 27: 1760–1764.
- [2] Yin GSC, Howard DPJ, Paul NLM, Li L, Luengo-Fernandez R, Bull LM, *et al.* Age-specific incidence, outcome, cost, and projected future burden of atrial fibrillation-related embolic vascular events: a population-based study. *Circulation*. 2014; 130: 1236–1244.
- [3] Arboix A, Alio J. Acute cardioembolic cerebral infarction: answers to clinical questions. *Current Cardiology Reviews*. 2012; 8: 54–67.
- [4] Heeringa J, van der Kuip DAM, Hofman A, Kors JA, van Herpen G, Stricker BHC, *et al.* Prevalence, incidence and lifetime risk of atrial fibrillation: the Rotterdam study. *European Heart Journal*. 2006; 27: 949–953.
- [5] Archontakis-Barakakis P, Kokkinidis DG, Nagraj S, Gidwani V, Mavridis T, Ntaios G. Major Hemorrhage Risk Associated with Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2022; 23: 334.
- [6] Bücke PJ, Cohen JE, Horvath TN, Cimpoca A, Bhogal P, Bänzner H, Henkes H. What You Always Wanted to Know about Endovascular Therapy in Acute Ischemic Stroke but Never Dared to Ask: A Comprehensive Review. *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2022; 23: 340.
- [7] Smaal JA, de Ridder IR, Heshmatollah A, van Zwam WH, Dippel D, Majoie CB, *et al.* Effect of atrial fibrillation on endovascular thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke. A meta-analysis of individual patient data from six randomised trials: Results from the HERMES collaboration. *European Stroke Journal*. 2020; 5: 245–251.
- [8] Boeckh-Behrens T, Kleine JF, Zimmer C, Neff F, Scheipl F, Pelisek J, *et al.* Thrombus Histology Suggests Cardioembolic Cause in Cryptogenic Stroke. *Stroke*. 2016; 47: 1864–1871.
- [9] Ikenberg B, Boeckh-Behrens T, Maegerlein C, Härtl J, Hernandez Petzsche M, Zimmer C, *et al.* Ischemic Stroke of Suspected Cardioembolic Origin Despite Anticoagulation: Does Thrombus Analysis Help to Clarify Etiology? *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2022; 13: 824792.
- [10] Baers JH, Adekanye J, Hazlewood G, Davies JM, Caird JK, Wilton SB. Systematic Review of Patient Decision Aids for Stroke Prevention Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation Management. *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2022; 23: 353.
- [11] Zhang Y, Zhai X, Liu K, Ma W, Li S, Zeng J, *et al.* Association of Beta-2 microglobulin with Stroke and All-cause 2 Mortality in Adults Aged ≥ 40 in US: NHANES III. To be published in *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2022. (in press)
- [12] Best JG, Ambler G, Wilson D, Lee KJ, Lim JS, Shiozawa M, *et al.* Development of imaging-based risk scores for prediction of intracranial haemorrhage and ischaemic stroke in patients taking antithrombotic therapy after ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from cohort studies. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2021; 20: 294–303.