

Revieu

Application of Radionuclide Myocardial Imaging in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction

Yu Tian^{1,2}, Yuetao Wang^{1,2}, Jianfeng Wang^{1,2}, Xiaoliang Shao^{1,2}, Feifei Zhang^{1,2},*

Academic Editor: Attila Nemes

Submitted: 14 May 2025 Revised: 23 July 2025 Accepted: 30 July 2025 Published: 27 October 2025

Abstract

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) represents a major phenotype of heart failure and accounts for over 50% of clinical cases. The complex pathophysiological mechanism involved in HFpEF promotes diagnostic difficulties and limited treatment options, posing a significant challenge in modern cardiology. Conventional imaging methods have significant limitations in comprehensively evaluating the heterogeneous etiologies and key pathological mechanisms of HFpEF. Radionuclide myocardial imaging, through the application of targeted radioactive tracers, enables *in vivo*, non-invasive quantitative assessment of multiple pathological and physiological processes such as myocardial perfusion, energy metabolism, sympathetic nervous activity, inflammatory responses, and fibrotic progression. Moreover, this technology offers a transformative approach to the precise diagnosis, molecular phenotyping, risk stratification, therapeutic monitoring, and prognostic assessment of HFpEF. Therefore, this review systematically summarizes the latest progress in radionuclide myocardial imaging techniques in diagnosing and treating HFpEF, with a particular focus on analyzing the unique clinical value of this technology in identifying specific etiologies (such as cardiac amyloidosis, cardiac sarcoidosis, and coronary microvascular dysfunction) and elucidating pathological mechanisms (including metabolic remodeling, inflammatory, fibrosis, and alterations in sympathetic innervation). Furthermore, we discuss the future directions of this imaging modality, including the development of novel molecular probes, integration with multimodal imaging techniques, and the application of artificial intelligence-assisted analysis. These innovations are expected to facilitate a paradigm shift from symptom-oriented management to mechanism-targeted therapy, offering new perspectives for the precise classification and clinical management of HFpEF.

Keywords: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; radionuclide imaging; positron emission tomography; single-photon emission computed tomography; molecular imaging

1. Introduction

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) accounts for more than 50% of heart failure cases. Its incidence has been continuously rising along with an aging population and the growing prevalence of metabolic diseases such as diabetes and obesity [1,2]. Unlike heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), HFpEF is characterized by preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) \geq 50% in the presence of distinct pathophysiological abnormalities, including diastolic dysfunction, elevated pulmonary artery pressures, abnormal myocardial energy metabolism, microcirculation dysfunction and myocardial fibrosis. At present, the clinical diagnosis and treatment of HFpEF remain challenging, primarily due to its substantial heterogeneity and complex, multifactorial etiology. Although the diagnosis of HFpEF primarily relies on clinical symptoms, echocardiography and biomarkers such as Nterminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP); the lack of specific diagnostic markers poses significant challenges for early detection and precise phenotyping [1,3,4]. In addition to conventional risk factor control and the use of novel agents such as sodium-glucose cotransporter 2

(SGLT2) inhibitors, targeted therapies for specific etiologies (e.g., transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis) are constantly evolving and improving [1]. Approximately 10 to 15% of patients clinically diagnosed with HFpEF may actually have conditions that mimic heart failure symptoms but possess distinct etiologies and treatment strategies, such as cardiac amyloidosis or sarcoidosis. Accurate identification of these 'masquerade syndromes' is crucial for guiding appropriate therapy and improving patient outcomes [5,6].

Cardiovascular imaging techniques are crucial in the diagnosis and etiology of HFpEF. Echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) are widely utilized imaging modalities for assessing structural and functional abnormalities, including left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and myocardial fibrosis. However, conventional imaging modalities are limited in their ability to assess key mechanisms such as microvascular dysfunction, myocardial metabolic abnormalities, and sympathetic dysregulation, making it challenging to fully reveal the underlying causes and key pathophysiological mechanisms of HFpEF. Radionuclide myocardial imaging, a functional molecular imaging technique, enables multidimensional evaluation of

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, 213003 Changzhou, Jiangsu, China

²Institute of Clinical Translation of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Soochow University, 213003 Changzhou, Jiangsu, China

^{*}Correspondence: feifei_zhang9108@163.com (Feifei Zhang)

myocardial perfusion, metabolism, sympathetic nerve activity, inflammation, and fibrosis through radioactive tracer technology. It not only helps to identify specific etiologies (e.g., cardiac amyloidosis, sarcoidosis, coronary microvascular dysfunction), but also can deeply analyze key pathophysiological mechanisms such as myocardial metabolic abnormalities, inflammation, sympathetic nerve imbalance, and fibrosis [7]. This provides a unique perspective for the precise phenotyping and individualized management of HFpEF.

This review aims to comprehensively explore the clinical application of radionuclide myocardial imaging in HFpEF, with a focus on its unique value in identifying specific etiologies such as cardiac amyloidosis, cardiac sarcoidosis, and coronary microvascular disease and elucidating pathophysiological mechanisms including inflammation, fibrosis, metabolic remodeling, sympathetic nerve function, and cardiac function. It also offers novel insights for the precise diagnosis of HFpEF, optimization of clinical management, improvement of patient prognosis, and future research directions, thereby promoting the shift from traditional symptom-based management to mechanism-targeted therapeutic strategies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Radionuclide Myocardial Imaging

Radionuclide myocardial imaging mainly relies on positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) [7]. Due to its broad availability and relatively low cost, SPECT is widely utilized in routine clinical practice for evaluating myocardial perfusion, sympathetic nerve activity, and cardiac amyloidosis. PET, with its outstanding spatial resolution and precise quantitative analysis capabilities, is particularly adept at accurately assessing myocardial metabolic status, microvascular function, and inflammatory responses. It is valuable in the diagnosis, classification, mechanistic analysis, prognosis evaluation, and treatment monitoring of HF-pEF.

In clinical practice, various radioactive tracers provide powerful tools for the assessment of different pathophysiological processes. The applicable scope, usage precautions and limitations of common tracers are detailed in Table 1 (Ref. [8–13]). In the evaluation of myocardial perfusion, commonly used tracers include 99m technetiummethoxy isobutyl isonitrile (99mTc-MIBI) and 201thallium (²⁰¹Tl) for SPECT, as well as ¹³N-ammonia, ¹⁵O-water and 82 rubidium (82 Rb) for PET. The latter allows for quantitative measurement of myocardial blood flow (MBF) and myocardial blood flow reserve (MFR). PET is considered the gold standard for noninvasively assessing regional MBF—and is especially valuable in detecting coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) in HFpEF patients. In metabolic imaging, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) and Iodine-123 β -methyl iodophenyl pentadecanoic acid (123 I-

BMIPP) are used to detect abnormal glucose and fatty acid metabolism in the myocardium. In the diagnosis of specific etiologies, ^{99m}Tc-pyrophosphate (^{99m}Tc-PYP) imaging has significant diagnostic value for transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis (ATTR-CA). Recent advancements in molecular probes have opened new avenues for studying HFpEF. Tracers such as ⁶⁸Gallium-labeled DOTA-(Tyr3)-Octreotate (68Ga-DOTATATE) and pentixafor can achieve precise imaging of myocardial inflammation [8]. Radiotracers targeting fibroblast activation protein (FAP), such as ⁶⁸Gallium fibroblast activation protein inhibitor (⁶⁸Ga-FAPI), have shown promising application in the early detection and quantitative assessment of myocardial fibrosis, providing new molecular imaging tools for the study of the pathological mechanism and individualized treatment of HFpEF. These technological advancements are advancing radionuclide imaging from traditional functional assessment towards precise molecular diagnosis. The indications, advantages and disadvantages of PET, SPECT, CMR and echocardiography are detailed in Table 2 (Ref. [1,5,7,14–

2.2 Identification of Specific Etiologies

2.2.1 Cardiac Amyloidosis

Cardiac amyloidosis (CA) is a form of infiltrative cardiomyopathy caused by the deposition of misfolded amyloid proteins in the myocardium. The two predominant subtypes are light-chain cardiac amyloidosis (AL-CA) and ATTR-CA [17]. Recent studies have revealed that approximately 13% of patients diagnosed with HFpEF may actually have undiagnosed CA [6]. Given the significant differences in treatment strategies for AL-CA and ATTR-CA, early and accurate differentiation between these subtypes is of paramount clinical importance [18]. Although myocardial biopsy with histopathological examination is the gold standard for diagnosing CA, its invasiveness and procedural risks limit widespread clinical use. Echocardiography may detect characteristic changes such as increased ventricular wall thickness, but these findings often present only in the advanced stages of the disease [19]. CMR can demonstrate characteristic late gadolinium enhancement suggestive of amyloid deposition, yet lacks specificity for distinguishing CA subtypes. In contrast, radionuclide myocardial imaging provides a non-invasive and highly specific alternative for diagnosis and subtype differentiation. They have been recommended by international guidelines as a first-line diagnostic tool [20,21].

Several bone scintigraphy tracers [22], such as ^{99m}Tc-PYP, ^{99m}Tc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanediacetic acid (^{99m}Tc-DPD), and ^{99m}Tc-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate (^{99m}Tc-HMDP), selectively bind to microcalcification proteins associated with ATTR-CA and exhibit high diagnostic specificity. They have been widely used for non-invasive screening and diagnosis of ATTR-CA. Diagnostic criteria include myocardial radiotracer uptake





Table 1. Applications of radiotracers in myocardial imaging for HFpEF.

Radiotracer	Clinical application	Radiation dose	Notes for attention	Limitations
¹⁸ F-FDG [11]	Evaluation of inflammation;	3.8–7.2 mSv	Maintain strict glycemic control (target ≤7.8 mmol/L);	Low specificity for etiology;
	Cardiac sarcoidosis diagnosis;		Fast for 6-12 hours pre-procedure; avoid glucose-containing IV fluids;	Susceptible to blood sugar fluctuations
	Ischemic memory;		Pre-treat with high-fat/low-carb diet;	
	Myocardial metabolic evaluation		Contraindications during pregnancy	
^{99m} Tc-MIBI [12]	SPECT-based perfusion imaging;	7–9 mSv	Safe and widely used;	Semi-quantitative;
	Detection of CMD;		good availability	Limited MBF assessment
	Ischemia and Infarction			
^{99m} Tc-PYP [13]	Diagnosis of ATTR-CA	∼10 mSv	Image acquisition 3 hours post-injection;	Not suitable for AL-CA;
			Perform semi-quantitative analysis with blood pool imaging;	Requires serum exclusion
			Dose adjustment required for severe renal impairment patients	
¹²³ I-MIBG [10]	Cardiac Sympathetic Function Assessment;	2.5–3.5 mSv	Discontinue tricyclic antidepressants 6 weeks prior;	Influenced by comorbidities (diabetes, CKD):
	Neuroendocrine Tumors		Thyroid blockade (potassium iodide 120 mg daily × 7 days);	Inter-individual variability
			Monitor heart rate variability post-injection;	
			Avoid use in acute phase of acute coronary syndrome	
⁶⁸ Ga-FAPI [9]	Imaging myocardial fibrosis and remodeling	3–5 mSv	Low background uptake;	Lacks large-scale validation
			Good image contrast	
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTATATE [8]	Myocardial Metastasis of Neuroendocrine Tumors;	4.5–5.5 mSv	Discontinue somatostatin analogues 24–72 hours prior;	Clinical use in HFpEF is still exploratory;
	Targeted Molecular Imaging of M1 Macrophage-		Evaluate renal function (use with caution if GFR <30);	Quantitative analysis complexity;
	Associated Inflammation		Thyroid blockade requires potassium iodide pre-treatment	Limited to certain inflammatory types

HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; ¹⁸F-FDG, ¹⁸Fluorine fluorodeoxyglucose; IV, intravenous; ^{99m}Tc-MIBI, ^{99m}technetium methoxy isobutyl isonitrile; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; CMD, coronary microvascular dysfunction; MBF, myocardial blood flow; ^{99m}Tc-PYP, ^{99m}technetium pyrophosphate; ATTR-CA, transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis; AL-CA, light-chain (AL) cardiac amyloidosis; ¹²³I-MIBG, ¹²³I-metaiodobenzylguanidine; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI, ⁶⁸Gallium fibroblast activation protein inhibitor; ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE, ⁶⁸Gallium-labeled DOTA-(Tyr3)-Octreotate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

Table 2. Comparison of imaging modalities in diagnosing etiology and pathophysiological mechanisms of HFpEF.

Imaging modality	Targeted etiologies/mechanisms	Advantages	Limitations	Cost & availability
Echocardiography [1,15]	Structural assessment;	Economical;	Limited tissue characterization;	Low cost;
	Wall motion-diastolic dysfunction;	Real-time, bedside;	Operator-dependent;	Widely available
	Amyloidosis (strain pattern)	Global and regional function;	Cannot directly detect inflammation/fibrosis	
		No radiation;		
		Safe for all populations		
CMR [14,16]	Myocardial fibrosis;	High spatial resolution;	Contraindicated in some patients (devices);	Moderate cost;
	Infiltrative disease (amyloid/sarcoid);	Tissue characterization (LGE, T1/T2/ECV);	Contraindicated in severe renal dysfunction;	Moderate availability
	Edema/inflammation- Ischemia/perfusion;	Quantitative perfusion;	Long scan time;	
	CMD (perfusion reserve)	No ionizing radiation	Poor availability in some regions	
SPECT [5,7]	Myocardial perfusion (ischemia/CAD);	High specificity;	Limited spatial resolution;	Moderate cost;
	ATTR amyloidosis (99mTc-PYP/DPD);	Capable of prognostic evaluation;	Semi-quantitative;	Very accessible
	Sympathetic innervation (123 I-MIBG);	Widespread clinical use	Radiation exposure;	
	Inflammation (99mTc-HMPAO)		Less sensitive than PET for flow/metabolism;	
			Moderate radiation (5-10 mSv);	
			Avoid in pregnancy;	
			Caution in thyroid disease (MIBG)	
PET [7,14]	Myocardial perfusion & MBF/MFR (CAD, CMD);	Highest quantitative accuracy;	Moderate radiation (4–10 mSv);	High cost;
	Inflammation (18F-FDG, 68Ga-DOTATATE);	Gold standard for CMD;	Contraindicated in pregnancy;	Limited in many centers
	Amyloidosis (18F-florbetapir, 11C-PIB);	Early inflammation detection;	Requires fasting for ¹⁸ F-FDG PET	
	Sympathetic innervation (11C-HED);	Absolute MBF and MFR;		
	Fibrosis (⁶⁸ Ga-FAPI)	Molecular specificity		

HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; PET, positron emission tomography; CMD, coronary microvascular dysfunction; CAD, coronary artery disease; ATTR-CA, transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis; ^{99m}Tc-PYP/DPD/HMDP, ^{99m}Tc-pyrophosphate/^{99m}Tc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanediacetic acid/^{99m}Tc-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate; ¹²³I-MIBG, ¹²³I-metaiodobenzylguanidine; ^{99m}Tc-HMPAO, ^{99m}Tc hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime; MBF, myocardial blood flow; MFR, myocardial flow reserve; ¹¹C-PIB, ¹¹C-Pittsburgh compound-B; ¹⁸F-FDG, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose; MIBI, methoxy isobutyl isonitrile; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; ECV, extracellular volume; ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI, ⁶⁸Gallium fibroblast activation protein inhibitor; H/M, heart-to-mediastinum; WR, washout rate; ¹¹C-hydroxyephedrine; ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE, ⁶⁸Gallium-labeled DOTA-(Tyr3)-Octreotate.



 \geq grade 2 or heart-to-contralateral lung ratio (H/CL) \geq 1.5 (\geq 1.3 for 3-hour imaging) [23,24], which yield a sensitivity of 91–97% and specificity of 87–100% [24,25]. When combined with the negative monoclonal immunoglobulin serum/urine test, it can exclude AL-CA (about 25% of AL patients may show grade 1 uptake), and the specificity and positive predictive value for ATTR-CA approach 100% [25].

The 2023 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines on Cardiomyopathies [21] state that DPD/PYP/HMDP SPECT myocardial imaging is the gold standard for diagnosing ATTR-CA and it may obviate the need for myocardial biopsy. Additionally, novel amyloidtargeted PET tracers such as 11C-Pittsburgh compound-B (11C-PIB), ¹⁸F-florbetapir, ¹⁸F-flutemetamol, and ¹⁸Fflorbetaben, specifically bind to the β -sheet structure of amyloid proteins and are suitable for detecting both AL and ATTR subtypes [26,27]. Among these, ¹⁸F-florbetaben and ¹¹C-PIB have shown higher affinity for AL fibrils, offering the potential for subtype differentiation. Preliminary study suggests that ¹⁸F-NaF PET/magnetic resonance imaging (PET/MRI) can help distinguish ATTR-CA from AL-CA, with higher myocardial standardized uptake values (SU-Vmax) observed in the ATTR-CA group, which strongly correlate with the biopsy-confirmed amyloid burden [28]. Quantitative SPECT analysis has demonstrated a strong correlation between PYP uptake and amyloid burden measured by CMR (r = 0.873) and was significantly associated with the severity of ATTR-CA and adverse prognosis [29]. Myocardial uptake of ¹¹C-PIB also correlates closely with histologically confirmed amyloid deposition in AL-CA and independently predicts prognosis [30], outperforming traditional biomarkers such as troponin I, NT-proBNP, and free light chains [31]. In another study involving 1422 ATTR-CA patients, diffuse right ventricular radiotracer uptake was significantly associated with increased allcause mortality (78% vs. 22%) [32]. Semi-quantitative indices such as SUV not only reflect disease severity and mortality risk but can also serve as dynamic markers for therapeutic response. For instance, during treatment with tafamidis or diflunisal, radionuclide imaging can be used to monitor changes in myocardial amyloid burden and evaluate treatment efficacy [33].

Radionuclide myocardial imaging has become a key tool for the diagnosis, classification and disease monitoring of CA. Among them, SPECT bone imaging tracers have become the first-line diagnostic tool for ATTR-CA, while PET provides more possibilities for the precise differentiation of AL-CA and ATTR-CA. In the future, with the development of new PET tracers and the advancement of quantitative analysis techniques, radionuclide myocardial imaging is expected to further enhance the early diagnosis of coronary artery disease (CAD), facilitate pathological classification, and play a more significant role in individualized treatment and prognosis assessment.

2.2.2 Cardiac Sarcoidosis

Cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) is an infiltrative cardiomyopathy characterized by non-caseating granulomatous inflammation of the myocardium. If left undiagnosed or untreated, it may progress to irreversible myocardial fibrosis,
leading to life-threatening arrhythmias, treatment-resistant
heart failure, or sudden cardiac death. Early initiation of
immunosuppressive therapy during the active inflammatory
phase is crucial. In patients with poor responses to immunosuppressive and heart failure medications, implantation of
a left ventricular assist device (LVAD) or heart transplantation should be considered. Therefore, early and accurate
diagnosis of CS and assessment of disease activity are of
great significance for timely and precise treatment and reduction of adverse cardiovascular events.

Multiple expert statements recommend ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging to confirm the diagnosis in patients with suspected CS [34,35]. The clinical utility of ¹⁸F-FDG PET includes [36]: (1) Early diagnosis: ¹⁸F-FDG PET can detect myocardial inflammation before the structural or functional changes, offering an earlier diagnostic window compared to CMR. A meta-analysis reported a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 83% for ¹⁸F-FDG PET in diagnosing CS [37]. Whole-body PET imaging is also useful for identifying extracardiac sarcoidosis [38], with a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 100% when extracardiac uptake is included. (2) Assessment of disease activity and staging: Positive ¹⁸F-FDG uptake reflects active inflammation. When combined with resting perfusion imaging, PET can distinguish disease stages: normal perfusion with increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake suggests early inflammation; reduced perfusion with elevated uptake implies both necrosis and inflammation; matched defects in perfusion and FDG uptake imply myocardial scarring. (3) Therapy guidance and monitoring: If ¹⁸F-FDG PET is positive, immunosuppressive therapy is required, and ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans can be repeated at 3, 6 and 12 months after treatment to evaluate the therapeutic effect and guide further treatment plans. After immunosuppressive therapy, if myocardial ¹⁸F-FDG imaging remains positive, it is one of the indications for pacemaker implantation. (4) Prognostication: ¹⁸F-FDG positivity correlates with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in CS.

PET/MRI holds great promise in the comprehensive assessment of CS. ¹⁸F-FDG PET detects early inflammatory infiltration, while MRI can precisely evaluate multiple parameters such as myocardial edema and myocardial fibrosis. The two complement each other and accurately reflect the pathological changes of CS. One case study shows that a 66-year-old male patient presented with chest pain, the biopsy was confirmed as cardiac sarcoidosis. CMR revealed late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) and high T2-weighted signal in the anterior ventricular septum area. At the same time, this area showed enhanced FDG uptake, with the maximum standardized uptake value being 6.4. After 4 months of steroid treatment, the LGE and T2W signal inten-



sities significantly decreased, and FDG uptake completely disappeared. This patient was determined to have a good therapeutic response, and no MACE occurred during the follow-up period [39]. However, ¹⁸F-FDG also has some limitations. Physiological myocardial uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG can alter image interpretation. Due to the physiological uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG in normal myocardium, multiple methods such as a low-carbohydrate and high-fat diet, long-term fasting, and intravenous injection of heparin before imaging [40] to reduce the uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG in normal myocardium can improve the diagnostic accuracy [41].

Several studies have confirmed that ⁶⁸Ga-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic-1-acid-Nal³-octreotide (⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC, a somatostatin receptor imaging agent) and 3'-deoxy-3'-¹⁸F-fluorothymidine (¹⁸F-FLT, a thymidine analogue imaging agent) have shown high accuracy in the diagnosis of CS [42,43]. In addition, choline analogues imaging agents (such as ¹¹C-choline and ¹⁸F-fluorocholine) and ¹¹C-methionine have shown potential application in the diagnosis of extracardiac sarcoidosis [44,45]. However, no current research has confirmed its application in the diagnosis of CS and further clinical research is still needed for verification.

2.2.3 Coronary Artery Disease Including Microvascular Dysfunction

CAD has been confirmed as one of the main pathogenic factors of HFpEF [46]. In China's largest autopsy-based study of elderly individuals, 68.2% of HFpEF patients had coexisting CAD, and 18.2% showed evidence of chronic myocardial ischemia, providing direct pathological support for the involvement of coronary microcirculation disorders in the pathogenesis of HFpEF [47]. Notably, the clinical misdiagnosis rate of CAD in HFpEF patients reached 63.3%, and the missed diagnosis rate of myocardial infarction was over 50%, highlighting the necessity of accurately assessing both coronary macrovascular and microvascular function [47]. Both epicardial coronary artery obstruction and microvascular dysfunction can lead to myocardial ischemia.

Radionuclide myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) is currently a widely used, evidence-based, and the most reliable non-invasive imaging for evaluating myocardial blood flow. It effectively identifies the location, extent, and severity of ischemia or infarction [48], with a diagnostic sensitivity of 82–91% and specificity of 70–90% for CAD [49]. Stress-rest MPI plays an important role in diagnosis, risk stratification and prognosis assessment of CAD, and a normal stress MPI has excellent negative predictive value for MACE [50]. In addition, radionuclide fatty acid metabolism imaging (such as ¹²³I-BMIPP) [51] and glucose metabolism imaging (such as ¹⁸F-FDG PET) [52] use the principle of "ischemic memory" to detect recent ischemic events, even after perfusion has normalized. Research [53] shows that CMD is an established independent risk factor

for HFpEF and a key pathological mechanism underlying diastolic dysfunction, yet it is undetectable by conventional coronary angiography.

SPECT and PET myocardial perfusion imaging are valuable tools for evaluating CMD. A coronary flow reserve (CFR) < 2.0–2.5, in the absence of epicardial stenosis, indicates CMD [54]. The major advantage of MPI lies in its ability to quantify MBF, which is essential for diagnosing ischemia or infarction in patients with non-obstructive coronary arteries, such as in myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) and ischemia with non-obstructive coronary arteries (INOCA) [55–57]. PET, owing to its superior image quality and quantitative capabilities, enables precise calculation of MBF (in mL/g/min) and MFR—the ratio of hyperemic to resting MBF—using dynamic imaging and tracer kinetic modeling [58-60]. PET-derived MFR provides a comprehensive assessment of coronary vascular function, capturing both epicardial stenosis and CMD driven by risk factors such as diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, renal dysfunction, obesity, and smoking [61,62]. In HFpEF patients without significant epicardial stenosis, radionuclide myocardial imaging facilitates CMD detection, thereby allowing for precise diagnosis and tailored treatment strategies [63]. MFR is an important indicator for evaluating coronary artery function, with reduced values associated with increased risk of MACE. Taqueti et al. [53] reported that CMD identified by PET (CFR ≤2.0) strongly predicts MACE. In patients without coronary artery stenosis but with impaired diastolic function, the risk of HFpEF hospitalization significantly increases. Similarly, Neglia et al. [64]. demonstrated that MBF independently predicts cardiac death or heart failure, irrespective of LVEF or clinical symptoms. Moreover, combined radionuclide imaging of perfusion and metabolism can identify viable myocardium with potential for functional recovery after revascularization [65]. Therefore, PET/CT-detected CMD is helpful in screening HFpEF patients who may benefit from intensified treatment, offering a robust basis for prognosis and monitoring of therapeutic interventions.

Based on the above evidence, radionuclide myocardial imaging has multiple clinical values in the management of HFpEF patients with CAD: (1) early identification of high-risk CAD patients; (2) guiding the formulation of individualized treatment strategies; (3) objectively assessing treatment effects; (4) precisely predicting disease prognosis. These advantages make it an important tool for improving the management of HFpEF patients.

As shown in Table 3 (Ref. [24,25,66–74]), the efficacy comparisons of PET, SPECT, CMR, and echocardiography in diagnosing specific causes are as follows.



Table 3. Diagnostic performance of cardiac imaging modalities for key etiologies in HFpEF.

Etiology/Imaging modality	Modality	Tracer/Technique	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
ATTR-CA	SPECT [24,25,66]	99mTc-PYP/DPD/HMDP	91~97%	87~100%
	PET [67]	¹⁸ F-florbetapir/ ¹¹ C-PIB	80~95%	85~98%
	Echocardiography [68]	Apical sparing strain for ATTR	97%	90%
CS	PET [69]	¹⁸ F-FDG	81%	82%
	CMR [70]	T2, LGE	76~100%	$76 \sim 78\%$
CAD	SPECT [71]	^{99m} Tc-MIBI/Tetrofosmin	82~91%	>95%
	PET [72,73]	13N-ammonia/82Rb	90~100%	85~95%
CMD	CMR [74]	stress CMR perfusion	41%	83%
	PET [73]	MBF/MFR analysis	100%	75%

HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; ATTR-CA, transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis; CS, cardiac sarcoidosis; CAD, coronary artery disease; CMD, coronary microvascular dysfunction; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; PET, positron emission tomography; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; ^{99m}Tc-PYP/DPD/HMDP, ^{99m}Tc-pyrophosphate/^{99m}Tc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanediacetic acid/^{99m}Tc-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate; ¹¹C-PIB, ¹¹C-Pittsburgh compound-B; ¹⁸F-FDG, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose; ^{99m}Tc-MIBI, ^{99m}technetium-methoxy isobutyl isonitrile; MBF, myocardial blood flow; MFR, myocardial flow reserve; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement.

2.3 Molecular Imaging of Pathophysiological Processes in HFpEF

2.3.1 Inflammation

Inflammatory activation is a key mechanism in the development and progression of HFpEF. Risk factors such as overweight/obesity (particularly epicardial fat accumulation), hypertension, diabetes and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease may induce systemic inflammation, promoting ventricular remodeling and diastolic dysfunction via complex signaling pathways [75]. Accurate assessment of myocardial inflammation is therefore essential for implementing targeted therapies. Currently, clinical evaluation primarily relies on circulating biomarkers such as highsensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate, interleukin (IL)-1\beta, and IL-6, which reflect systemic rather than localized myocardial inflammation. While traditional non-invasive imaging techniques, such as ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and MRI, are useful for evaluating anatomical structures and function, they are incapable of directly imaging or quantifying inflammatory cells or their molecular markers [76]. Nuclear molecular imaging employs radiotracers to label inflammatory cells, cytokines, receptors, enzymes, inflammatory cell metabolites, adhesion molecules, and the cellular microenvironment with radiotracers [77], thereby enabling in vivo, non-invasive, and dynamic assessment of myocardial inflammation. This approach offers a unique tool for investigating the pathogenesis of HFpEF and guiding precision anti-inflammatory therapies.

SPECT can assess myocardial inflammation related to HFpEF by labeling white blood cells or inflammatory factors [7,14,78]. For example, 99mTc hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime (99mTc-HMPAO) leukocyte imaging detects inflammatory cell infiltration and quantifies inflammatory burden, though its spatial resolution is suboptimal.

⁶⁷Ga-citrate imaging is applicable for chronic inflammation assessment, but its specificity is limited. In contrast, PET enables more precise evaluation by targeting activated inflammatory cells (such as macrophages) and their surface receptors [79]. Common PET tracers include: (1) ¹⁸F-FDG. A classic tracer for imaging myocardial inflammation, with uptake levels closely reflecting inflammatory activity [7]. Post-acute myocardial infarction (AMI), elevated ¹⁸F-FDG SUVmax reflects localized inflammatory response [80], and the extent of left ventricular uptake predicts subsequent remodeling and functional deterioration [81]. However, physiological myocardial uptake and stringent dietary protocols limit its utility. (2) ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE. A specific imaging tracer for M1 macrophages, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET allows for sensitive detection of myocardial injury and inflammation without the need for dietary preparation, as normal myocardium shows minimal uptake [8]. (3) ⁶⁸Ga-Pentixafor. Targeting the C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor shows increased myocardial uptake during inflammatory states and can predict adverse remodeling in HFpEF [82]. Werner et al. [83] reported that the infarct-to-remote myocardium SUVmax ratio of ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor after AMI independently predicts major adverse cardiovascular events (HR = 4.9, p < 0.01). (4) The translocator protein (TSPO)-targeted tracers. TSPO, abundantly expressed on activated macrophages, is a promising target. 11C-PK11195 PET enables detection of myocardial inflammation even in low-metabolic states, while ¹⁸F-GE180 can identify early post-AMI inflammation and predict adverse left ventricular remodeling at 8 weeks [84]. In addition to the above-mentioned classic probes, other novel inflammatory molecule probes have also shown potential applications in animal and early clinical studies. For instance, ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-ECL1i, a C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2) imaging agent, ⁶⁴Cu-Macrin, which reflects macrophage phagocytosis, and ¹¹C-methionine have



all been found to be applicable for myocardial inflammation imaging [85], and are expected to be used in clinical practice in the future.

Increased epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) is associated with poor prognosis in HFpEF and is regarded as an independent cardiometabolic risk factor [86]. Nuclear molecular imaging, with its unique advantages, is expected to play a significant role in precisely evaluating the inflammatory state of EAT [87]. ¹⁸F-FDG PET has been used to quantify EAT inflammation and is independently associated with atrial fibrillation [87,88]. Evidence [89] also suggests "cross-talk" between myocardial and renal inflammation post-injury, and supports a systemic immune-metabolic interplay in HFpEF pathophysiology. Whole-body PET imaging enables simultaneous assessment of multiple organs (e.g., brain, bone marrow, arteries, kidneys, liver), which is critical for mapping organ-organ interactions and systemic inflammation in HFpEF. PET/MRI fusion offers added value by combining metabolic and anatomical information. In addition, ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging can detect and quantify myocardial inflammation, providing complementary information to CMR [90]. This combined PET/MRI strategy can not only be used to assess the inflammatory burden of HFpEF but also guide precise anti-inflammatory treatment (such as IL-1 β inhibitors) by evaluating disease activity, progression and monitoring the response to treatment.

In summary, nuclear molecular imaging has broken through the limitations of traditional techniques, achieving dynamic visualization, quantification, and multi-target assessment of myocardial inflammation in HFpEF. This helps to screen high-risk populations with high inflammatory burden, optimize anti-inflammatory treatment strategies (such as IL-1, IL-6 inhibitors or SGLT2 inhibitors), and guide prognosis. It provides a revolutionary tool for clarifying the inflammatory mechanism, guiding targeted therapy, and improving prognosis. In the future, it is necessary to further promote the clinical transformation of new tracers and the integration of multi-modal imaging technologies to provide a "molecular microscope" for clarifying the pathogenesis of HFpEF and will further drive the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure into the era of precision medicine.

2.3.2 Myocardial Fibrosis

Myocardial fibrosis is a central pathological mechanism in the occurrence and development of HFpEF [1]. It impairs ventricular compliance through dual pathways of interstitial fibrosis (excessive collagen deposition) and replacement fibrosis (scar repair), leading to progressive diastolic dysfunction and significantly increasing the risk of cardiovascular death and rehospitalization for heart failure [91]. This key pathological process makes it one of the most promising therapeutic targets for HFpEF. Early and accurate identification and intervention in the fibrotic process are necessary for reversing ventricular remodeling and im-

proving patient prognosis. Traditional diagnostic methods have obvious limitations: although endomyocardial biopsy is the gold standard [92], it is invasive and susceptible to sampling bias; CMR using LGE can only identify focal late-stage fibrosis [93]. Serum biomarkers (e.g., procollagen type I C-terminal propeptide, PICP, procollagen type I N-terminal propeptide, PINP) are limited in clinical application due to the lack of cardiac specificity. This diagnostic dilemma is being overcome by nuclear molecular imaging. By specifically targeting key molecular events of fibrosis (such as fibroblast activation, collagen synthesis and crosslinking), it has achieved a paradigm shift from "anatomical imaging" to "visualizing pathological processes", laying the technical foundation for the era of precision medicine in HFpEF.

Fibroblast activation is a key link in the fibrotic process, and is reflective of the early stage, activity and reversibility of fibrosis. Recently, radiolabeled molecular probe targeting FAP, represented by ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET, has been able to detect the activity of myocardial fibrosis in HFpEF by binding to FAP expressed on activated fibroblasts. Uptake in HFpEF patients is approximately 2.1-fold higher than that in healthy controls (p < 0.01). Moreover, it can predict the risk of left ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction (area under the ROC curve (AUC) = 0.89) and subclinical fibrosis in patients with diabetes or obesity [94,95]. A study has demonstrated [9] that ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI uptake is elevated in the early phases of fibrosis. Thus, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET facilitates early identification of active myocardial fibrosis, providing an important foundation for timely therapeutic intervention aimed at preventing adverse ventricular remodeling. Furthermore, although ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI demonstrates promising potential for imaging-based assessment of myocardial fibrosis, its diagnostic specificity, particularly in the early detection phase, still requires further validation and confirmation through large-scale clinical trials.

Studies involving novel tracers such as 99m Tc-CBP1495 (a collagen-binding probe) and 18 F-Alfatide II (targeting $\alpha v\beta 3$ integrin) [96,97] have shown that PET imaging holds promise for evaluating the severity of fibrosis and monitoring its progression. Future multi-center trials (such as the FIBRO-HFpEF study) are warranted to delineate subtype-specific regulation strategies for fibroblasts (repair-promoting vs. fibrosis-promoting), and to advance the development of new probes targeting enzymes such as lysyl oxidase (LOX) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [98]. Ultimately, a comprehensive fibrosis management framework—encompassing early diagnosis, precise phenotyping, dynamic monitoring, and prognostic evaluation—should be established to redefine the therapeutic paradigm of HFpEF.

Nuclear molecular imaging has broken through the limitations of traditional imaging, achieving non-invasive, dynamic and quantitative assessment of myocardial fibrosis



in HFpEF. It plays a significant role in the precise classification, treatment monitoring, and prognosis prediction of HFpEF patients. In the future, it is necessary to further promote the clinical transformation of new tracers and conduct large-scale, multi-center studies to verify their clinical application value. PET/MRI combined strategy is expected to become a new standard for the assessment of myocardial fibrosis in HFpEF. Exploring the molecular imaging strategy of fibrosis-inflammation interaction will help to formulate and optimize individualized and precise treatment strategies.

2.3.3 Sympathetic Innervation Imaging

The autonomic nervous system plays an important role in regulating cardiac function, including heart rate, myocardial contractility and blood pressure. failure, particularly HFpEF, sympathetic nervous system (SNS) overactivation—as well as activation of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS)—contributes to adverse ventricular remodeling, increases the risk of arrhythmias, and is strongly associated with poor prognosis [99]. Nuclear imaging of cardiac sympathetic innervation has emerged as a valuable tool in clinical research and patient management [100]. The most widely used approach for evaluating cardiac sympathetic function involves imaging presynaptic nerve terminals using radiolabeled catecholamine analogues. Among them, ¹²³Imetaiodobenzylguanidine (123 I-MIBG) is a widely used SPECT imaging, while ¹¹C-hydroxyephedrine (¹¹C-HED) is often employed for PET imaging [100]. These radiotracers provide direct assessment of myocardial sympathetic activity and are useful for detecting autonomic dysfunction, risk stratification, prognostication, individualized therapy, and treatment monitoring in HFpEF.

Multiple studies [10,99] have shown that ¹²³I-MIBG imaging is more valuable than traditional indicators such as LVEF and BNP in risk stratification of heart failure patients and has gradually become an important tool for assessing prognosis [101]. The heart-to-mediastinum (H/M) uptake ratio and myocardial clearance rate are independent predictors of adverse outcomes across various heart failure etiologies [101]. Several studies [102–104] have shown that the H/M ratio in patients with heart failure is closely related to their poor prognosis, regardless of whether they have HFrEF, heart failure with mid-range ejection fraction (HFmrEF), or HFpEF. The overall sympathetic nerve function of the heart and regional heterogeneity of cardiac innervation are also closely related to malignant ventricular arrhythmias. A study [100] found that if there is a region with relatively good perfusion but damaged nerves near the area of the myocardial scar, namely "neuro-perfusion mismatch", the patient is more prone to arrhythmias. The PAREPET study found through ¹¹C-HED PET inflammation imaging that the "neuro/perfusion mismatch" area is closely related to the occurrence of sudden cardiac death

in patients with ischemic heart disease, and is not affected by LVEF, infarction size and BNP levels [105]. In addition, nuclear neuroimaging can assess the effects of therapeutic interventions on sympathetic activity. A study [106] found that treatment with beta-blockers, aldosterone antagonists, and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) ventilation, significantly improves ¹²³I-MIBG or ¹¹C-HED uptake, suggesting that sympathetic dysfunction is at least partially reversible. In patients with diabetes, cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) is a common and serious complication. Among diabetic patients with heart failure, those with an H/M ratio < 1.6 have a threefold increased risk of disease progression compared to those with H/M ratio >1.6 [102]. Both ¹¹C-HED and ¹²³I-MIBG imaging can detect early CAN and monitor its progression over time [106]. Notably, nerve function may partially recover with intensive glycemic control, supporting early intervention as a strategy to delay or prevent neuropathy progression and improve heart failure outcomes in diabetic patients.

Despite its clinical promise, widespread adoption of cardiac sympathetic innervation imaging faces technical challenges. ¹¹C-labeled tracers like ¹¹C-HED, with a half-life of only 20 minutes, must be synthesized on-site using a cyclotron, which is difficult to achieve in most medical institutions. In contrast, the ¹⁸F-labeled tracers with a half-life of up to 110 minutes, such as ¹⁸F-fluorobenzylguanidine and ¹⁸F-m-fluorobenzylguanidine, have greater practical potential [103] and hold promise for broader clinical implementation.

Although sympathetic innervation imaging has demonstrated strong prognostic value in heart failure and arrhythmias, prospective studies are still needed to demonstrate its direct impact on clinical decision-making. Consequently, this technique has not yet been incorporated into heart failure management guidelines [7]. Nevertheless, in selected high-risk or borderline patients—particularly those being considered for catheter ablation or device therapy—sympathetic imaging may serve as a valuable adjunctive tool for risk assessment and management.

2.3.4 Metabolic Imaging

Metabolic abnormalities play a key role in the pathogenesis of HFpEF. Patients with metabolic syndrome, particularly those with obesity or diabetes, frequently exhibit myocardial energy metabolism disorders, which are increasingly recognized as key contributors to impaired diastolic function. Under stress, the heart undergoes substrate shifts as a compensatory mechanism in pathological remodeling [107]. A comprehensive understanding of this metabolic reprogramming is essential not only for elucidating HFpEF pathophysiology but also for informing the development of targeted therapeutic strategies. Metabolic alterations in HFpEF are characterized by disruptions across multiple pathways, including fatty acid oxidation, glucose oxidation, glycolysis, ketone body metabolism, and



branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) metabolism [108]. Nuclear molecular imaging provides a powerful tool for a comprehensive assessment of these metabolic alterations. Currently, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is widely used in clinical practice to non-invasively evaluate the myocardial glucose metabolic status, especially for HFpEF patients with concomitant disorders of glucose and lipid metabolism. It can reveal changes in myocardial glucose uptake, a metabolic feature that may be closely related to diastolic dysfunction. However, ¹⁸F-FDG imaging is subject to several limitations: its uptake is influenced by insulin sensitivity, dietary status and cardiac load, resulting in poor reproducibility of the results. More importantly, it only reflects a single link of glucose metabolism and is difficult to comprehensively evaluate the myocardial metabolic network. Therefore, it is still necessary to develop more targeted and stable tracers to achieve a more accurate quantitative assessment of myocardial metabolic status.

Beyond glucose metabolism, the myocardium relies heavily on fatty acids for energy. To more comprehensively assess the myocardial metabolic status, researchers have also employed imaging agents such as ¹⁸F-fluoro-6-thiaheptadecanoic acid (¹⁸F-FTHA) and ¹¹C-palmitate [109] to detect myocardial fatty acid uptake, thereby analyzing the balance of myocardial substrate metabolism. Some researchers [110] used ¹⁸F-FTHA and ¹⁸F-FDG as tracers and found through PET imaging that compared with normal individuals, the rate of free fatty acid uptake in the myocardium of heart failure patients decreased, while the rate of glucose uptake increased. PET/CT can assess the therapeutic effect of drugs on heart failure by comparing the myocardial metabolic changes before and after drug treatment in heart failure patients. ¹¹C-acetate (¹¹C-ACE) myocardial PET imaging can evaluate the aerobic metabolism of the myocardium in heart failure patients [111]. In patients with heart failure, aerobic metabolism in the myocardium increases, and the clearance rate of ¹¹C-ACE is significantly lower than that of normal individuals. After treatment with beta-blockers, aerobic metabolism decreases and the clearance rate of ¹¹C-ACE increases. In addition, myocardial peripheral efficiency has also become one of the indicators of concern in recent years. It can be evaluated by combining ¹¹C-ACE PET to measure myocardial oxygen uptake and the left ventricular peripheral work estimated by the product of stroke volume and mean arterial pressure [111]. Studies [112] suggest that the efficiency of damaged myocardium is even more effective than the ejection fraction in predicting the poor prognosis of patients.

In summary, radionuclide myocardial metabolic imaging provides critical insights into the energy metabolism of the HFpEF myocardium. It shows promises for early disease detection, the response and evaluation of treatments, and precision therapy. Future research should focus on developing a multimodal metabolic assessment system to more accurately guide clinical decision-making.

2.4 Cardiac Function Evaluation

Gated myocardial perfusion imaging (GMPI) is a widely used nuclear cardiology technique in clinical practice. By using the R wave of the electrocardiogram as a trigger, GMPI segments each cardiac cycle into 8 or 16 equal phases, allowing sequential acquisition of myocardial images from end-systole to end-diastole [113]. GMPI can accurately obtain the left ventricular volume-time curve, and further calculate diastolic function parameters including peak filling rate (PFR) and time to peak filling (TPF), as well as indices of left ventricular mechanical dyssynchrony (LVMD), such as diastolic phase bandwidth, phase standard deviation (PSD), and phase entropy [113]. These objective metrics allow comprehensive assessment of both diastolic function and intraventricular synchrony. Study has demonstrated a strong correlation between diastolic PSD and phase bandwidth derived from GMPI phase analysis and the degree of diastolic dyssynchrony measured by tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) [114] GMPI-derived indices exhibit superior reproducibility, making them wellsuited for longitudinal monitoring. In HFpEF, patients frequently exhibit significant diastolic dyssynchrony despite preserved systolic synchrony, a phenomenon linked to increased myocardial stiffness due to fibrosis and disrupted energy metabolism [115–117]. Diastolic dyssynchrony represents not only a hallmark pathological feature of HFpEF but also an independent predictor of adverse outcomes [118]. It correlates with worsening cardiac structure and function and can guide risk stratification. For instance, post-myocardial infarction patients with a diastolic PSD >55.5° have a significantly increased risk of MACE [119]. Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) for asynchronyrelated events has been proven to reduce the morbidity and mortality of heart failure patients [120]. Given this evidence, the timely and accurate diagnosis of LVMD in patients with heart failure is of crucial clinical significance. Furthermore, right ventricular (RV) function also plays a crucial role in HFpEF [121,122]. Radionuclide ventriculography can simultaneously obtain the right ventricular volume and functional parameters. When the RV is difficult to be clearly displayed by ultrasound, it provides more accurate and repeatable data, which is helpful for a comprehensive assessment of biventricular function [123].

In conclusion, GMPI-derived functional parameters serve as non-invasive, reproducible imaging biomarkers for diastolic function assessment in HFpEF. These may complement clinical decision-making in risk stratification and treatment monitoring. Future prospective studies are warranted to validate their role in guiding personalized management strategies.

2.5 Future Directions and Perspectives

Radionuclide myocardial imaging has shown considerable clinical value in the diagnosis and management of HFpEF, particularly in identifying specific etiologies, un-



covering key pathophysiological mechanisms, and enabling individualized risk stratification. However, its broader clinical adoption remains constrained by several challenges. High costs, limited availability of PET imaging systems, and the technical complexity of advanced tracers have restricted their widespread use, especially in resource-limited settings. In contrast, SPECT imaging using 99mTc-labeled tracers is more accessible and cost-effective, making it a practical first-line modality. A tiered diagnostic strategy employing SPECT for initial screening (e.g., 99mTc-PYP for ATTR-CA) and reserving PET for advanced assessment in tertiary centers—is therefore recommended. Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI)—based quantitative tools may enhance diagnostic reproducibility and reduce inter-observer variability. Despite technological progress, many radionuclide imaging parameters still lack standardized diagnostic thresholds and validation in largescale, multicenter studies. Furthermore, several promising radiotracers, such as FAPI and FLT, remain in the early stages of clinical development, necessitating further research to confirm their utility in early detection, disease monitoring, and therapeutic guidance.

Future studies should focus on several key areas. First, the integration of multimodal imaging techniques (PET/CT, PET/MR) with AI-driven image analysis will enable high-throughput, multi-dimensional assessment of HFpEF mechanisms. Second, developing more specific molecular probes targeting inflammation, fibrosis, and metabolic dysfunction may support a shift from phenotypebased to mechanism-based disease classification. Third, building comprehensive clinical models that combine nuclear imaging biomarkers with conventional tools—such as NT-proBNP, CMR, and functional scoring systemscan refine therapeutic decision-making. Fourth, evaluating the role of nuclear imaging in predicting treatment response will be crucial, particularly with the rise of SGLT2 inhibitors, anti-inflammatory agents, and anti-fibrotic therapies. Finally, advances in AI are expected to streamline image processing, optimize radiation dosing, and facilitate individualized prediction of prognosis through multiparametric modeling. In addition, enhancing patient education is of great significance in improving their acceptance and compliance with the process of radionuclide imaging. Adequate informed communication about the benefits and limitations of the examination can help increase patient compliance, especially for elderly patients or those with multiple underlying diseases.

3. Conclusion and Future Perspectives

HFpEF, often regarded as the "final frontier" in the management of heart failure, urgently demands innovative technologies to overcome persistent diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. Nuclear myocardial imaging, by virtue of its unique strengths in molecular imaging, is currently spearheading the paradigmatic transformation of the

diagnosis and treatment mode of HFpEF. This technique not only transcends the constraints of traditional imaging, achieving a cognitive leap from macroscopic phenotypes to microscopic mechanisms, but also, via a multi-parameter and quantitative assessment system, furnishes crucial technical support for the precise medical practice of HFpEF. Current evidence strongly supports that nuclear imaging possesses three core values in the diagnosis and treatment of HFpEF: at the diagnostic level, it can precisely identify special etiologies and key pathological mechanisms; at the therapeutic level, it is capable of objectively assessing therapeutic effects and predicting treatment responses; at the management level, it can realize individualized prognosis assessment and the entire process management.

Looking forward to the future, with the rapid development of molecular imaging technology and the cross-integration of multiple disciplines, radionuclide myocardial imaging will realize three major transitions in the field of HFpEF: from an auxiliary diagnostic tool to a decision support system, from single-parameter evaluation to multi-omics integration, and from preclinical research to clinical translation. These transitions will profoundly affect the diagnosis and treatment strategies of HFpEF, ultimately achieving a qualitative leap from "symptomatic treatment" to "etiological treatment" and from "group-based regimens" to "individualized regimens", providing crucial technical support for enhancing the prevention and treatment of heart failure.

Abbreviations

SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; PET, positron emission tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MPI, myocardial perfusion imaging; MFR, myocardial flow reserve; MBFR, blood flow reserve; MBF, myocardial blood flow; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVAD, left ventricular assist device; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; GMPI, Gated myocardial perfusion imaging; FAP, fibroblast activation protein; EAT, excessive epicardial adipose tissue; CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; CS, cardiac sarcoidosis; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; CMD, coronary microvascular dysfunction; CFR, coronary flow reserve; CCR2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2; CAD, coronary artery disease; CA, cardiac amyloidosis; ATTR-CA, transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; AL-CA, light chain cardiac amyloidosis; ^{99m}Tc-PYP, ^{99m}Tc-pyrophosphate; ^{99m}Tc-MIBI, ^{99m}technetium-methoxy isobutyl isonitrile; 99mTc-HMDP, 99mTc-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate; 99mTc-DPD, 99mTc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanediacetic acid; ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE, ⁶⁸Gallium-labeled DOTA-(Tyr3)-Octreotate; ¹⁸F-FDG, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose; ¹²³I-MIBG, ¹²³I-metaiodobenzylguanidine; ¹²³I-BMIPP, Iodine-123



 β -methyl iodophenyl pentadecanoic acid; ¹¹C-PIB, ¹¹C-Pittsburgh compound-B; ¹¹C-HED, ¹¹C-hydroxyephedrine.

Author Contributions

FZ and YW meticulously orchestrated the conceptual framework and strategic direction for this review, subsequently conducting a thorough refinement and revision of the manuscript. YT wrote the initial draft. JW and XS provided help with editing and guidance for this manuscript. All authors contributed to editorial changes and conception in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

Funding

This research was funded by the Applied Basic Research Project of Changzhou (CJ20241109, PI: Feifei Zhang), Top Talent of Changzhou "The 14th Five-Year Plan" High-Level Health Talents Training Project (2022-260, PI: Feifei Zhang), Changzhou Clinical Medical Center (Nuclear Medicine) (CZZX202204), Clinical Medical Science and Technology High-end Platform and Transformation Base Construction Project of Soochow University (Characteristic Discipline)—Nuclear Medicine (PI: Yuetao Wang), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82272031, PI: Yuetao Wang; U22A6008, PI: Sijin Li).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Borlaug BA, Sharma K, Shah SJ, Ho JE. Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: JACC Scientific Statement. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2023; 81: 1810–1834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.01.049.
- [2] Jiang MD, Zhao SH, Lu MJ. Emphasizing the value of non-invasive imaging in the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: an interpretation based on 2023 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on management of HFpEF. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2023; 51: 1282–1289. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn 112148-20230907-00135. (In Chinese)
- [3] Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2022; 145: e876–e894. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR. 00000000000001062.
- [4] Del Buono MG, Iannaccone G, Scacciavillani R, Carbone S,

- Camilli M, Niccoli G, *et al.* Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction diagnosis and treatment: An updated review of the evidence. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 2020; 63: 570–584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.011.
- [5] Baron T, Gerovasileiou S, Flachskampf FA. The role of imaging in the selection of patients for HFpEF therapy. European Heart Journal. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 24: 1343–1351. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jead137.
- [6] González-López E, Gallego-Delgado M, Guzzo-Merello G, de Haro-Del Moral FJ, Cobo-Marcos M, Robles C, et al. Wildtype transthyretin amyloidosis as a cause of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. European Heart Journal. 2015; 36: 2585–2594. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv338.
- [7] Saraste A, Knuuti J, Bengel F. Phenotyping heart failure by nuclear imaging of myocardial perfusion, metabolism, and molecular targets. European Heart Journal. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 24: 1318–1328. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jead128.
- [8] Ćorović A, Gopalan D, Wall C, Peverelli M, Hoole SP, Calvert PA, et al. Novel Approach for Assessing Postinfarct Myocardial Injury and Inflammation Using Hybrid Somatostatin Receptor Positron Emission Tomography/Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Circulation. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 16: e014538. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.122.014538.
- [9] Song W, Zhang X, He S, Gai Y, Qin C, Hu F, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET visualize heart failure: from mechanism to clinic. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2023; 50: 475–485. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05994-4.
- [10] Hachamovitch R, Nutter B, Menon V, Cerqueira MD. Predicting Risk Versus Predicting Potential Survival Benefit Using 123ImIBG Imaging in Patients with Systolic Dysfunction Eligible for Implantable Cardiac Defibrillator Implantation: Analysis of Data from the Prospective ADMIRE-HF Study. Circulation. Cardiovascular imaging. 2015; 8: e003110. https://doi.org/10. 1161/CIRCIMAGING.114.003110.
- [11] Emergency Department Patients With Chest Writing Panel, Rybicki FJ, Udelson JE, Peacock WF, Goldhaber SZ, Isselbacher EM, et al. 2015 ACR/ACC/AHA/AATS/ACEP/ASNC/NASCI/SAEM/SCCT/S CMR/SCPC/SNMMI/STR/STS Appropriate Utilization of Cardiovascular Imaging in Emergency Department Patients With Chest Pain: A Joint Document of the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria Committee and the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force. Journal of the American College of Radiology: JACR. 2016; 13: e1-e29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2015.07.007.
- [12] Hesse B, Lindhardt TB, Acampa W, Anagnostopoulos C, Ballinger J, Bax JJ, et al. EANM/ESC guidelines for radionuclide imaging of cardiac function. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2008; 35: 851–885. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s00259-007-0694-9.
- [13] Poterucha TJ, Elias P, Bokhari S, Einstein AJ, DeLuca A, Kinkhabwala M, et al. Diagnosing Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis by Technetium Tc 99m Pyrophosphate: A Test in Evolution. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 14: 1221–1231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.08.027.
- [14] Burrage MK, Lewis AJ, Miller JJJ. Functional and Metabolic Imaging in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction: Promises, Challenges, and Clinical Utility. Cardiovascular Drugs and Therapy. 2023; 37: 379–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10557-022-07355-7.
- [15] Pieske B, Tschöpe C, de Boer RA, Fraser AG, Anker SD, Donal E, et al. How to diagnose heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the HFA-PEFF diagnostic algorithm: a consensus recommendation from the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European Heart Journal. 2019; 40: 3297–3317. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz641.



- [16] Chamsi-Pasha MA, Zhan Y, Debs D, Shah DJ. CMR in the Evaluation of Diastolic Dysfunction and Phenotyping of HFpEF: Current Role and Future Perspectives. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2020; 13: 283–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jc mg.2019.02.031.
- [17] Garcia-Pavia P, Rapezzi C, Adler Y, Arad M, Basso C, Brucato A, *et al.* Diagnosis and treatment of cardiac amyloidosis: a position statement of the ESC Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. European Heart Journal. 2021; 42: 1554–1568. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab072.
- [18] Rapezzi C, Merlini G, Quarta CC, Riva L, Longhi S, Leone O, et al. Systemic cardiac amyloidoses: disease profiles and clinical courses of the 3 main types. Circulation. 2009; 120: 1203–1212. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.843334.
- [19] Falk RH, Alexander KM, Liao R, Dorbala S. AL (Light-Chain) Cardiac Amyloidosis: A Review of Diagnosis and Therapy. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2016; 68: 1323–1341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.06.053.
- [20] Kittleson MM, Maurer MS, Ambardekar AV, Bullock-Palmer RP, Chang PP, Eisen HJ, et al. Cardiac Amyloidosis: Evolving Diagnosis and Management: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020; 142: e7–e22. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000792.
- [21] Arbelo E, Protonotarios A, Gimeno JR, Arbustini E, Barriales-Villa R, Basso C, et al. 2023 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiomyopathies. European Heart Journal. 2023; 44: 3503–3626. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehad194.
- [22] Ruberg FL, Grogan M, Hanna M, Kelly JW, Maurer MS. Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2019; 73: 2872–2891. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.04. 003.
- [23] Perugini E, Guidalotti PL, Salvi F, Cooke RMT, Pettinato C, Riva L, et al. Noninvasive etiologic diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis using 99mTc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanodicarboxylic acid scintigraphy. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2005; 46: 1076–1084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2005. 05.073.
- [24] Bokhari S, Castaño A, Pozniakoff T, Deslisle S, Latif F, Maurer MS. (99m)Tc-pyrophosphate scintigraphy for differentiating light-chain cardiac amyloidosis from the transthyretin-related familial and senile cardiac amyloidoses. Circulation. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2013; 6: 195–201. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRC IMAGING.112.000132.
- [25] Gillmore JD, Maurer MS, Falk RH, Merlini G, Damy T, Dispenzieri A, et al. Nonbiopsy Diagnosis of Cardiac Transthyretin Amyloidosis. Circulation. 2016; 133: 2404–2412. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.021612.
- [26] Lee SP, Lee ES, Choi H, Im HJ, Koh Y, Lee MH, et al. 11C-Pittsburgh B PET imaging in cardiac amyloidosis. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2015; 8: 50–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.09.018.
- [27] Law WP, Wang WY, Moore PT, Mollee PN, Ng AC. Cardiac Amyloid Imaging with 18F-Florbetaben PET: A Pilot Study. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2016; 57: 1733–1739. https://doi.org/10. 2967/jnumed.115.169870.
- [28] Abulizi M, Sifaoui I, Wuliya-Gariepy M, Kharoubi M, Israël JM, Emsen B, et al. ¹⁸F-sodium fluoride PET/MRI myocardial imaging in patients with suspected cardiac amyloidosis. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2021; 28: 1586–1595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-019-01885-8.
- [29] Dorbala S, Park MA, Cuddy S, Singh V, Sullivan K, Kim S, et al. Absolute Quantitation of Cardiac ^{99m}Tc-Pyrophosphate Using Cadmium-Zinc-Telluride-Based SPECT/CT. Journal of

- Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2021; 62: 716–722. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.120.247312.
- [30] Lee SP, Suh HY, Park S, Oh S, Kwak SG, Kim HM, et al. Pittsburgh B Compound Positron Emission Tomography in Patients With AL Cardiac Amyloidosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2020; 75: 380–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jacc.2019.11.037.
- [31] Choi YJ, Koh Y, Lee HJ, Hwang IC, Park JB, Yoon YE, et al. Independent Prognostic Utility of ¹¹C-Pittsburgh Compound B PET in Patients with Light-Chain Cardiac Amyloidosis. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2022; 63: 1064–1069. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnum ed.121.263033.
- [32] Porcari A, Fontana M, Canepa M, Biagini E, Cappelli F, Gagliardi C, et al. Clinical and Prognostic Implications of Right Ventricular Uptake on Bone Scintigraphy in Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy. Circulation. 2024; 149: 1157–1168. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.066524.
- [33] Scully PR, Morris E, Patel KP, Treibel TA, Burniston M, Klotz E, et al. DPD Quantification in Cardiac Amyloidosis: A Novel Imaging Biomarker. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2020; 13: 1353–1363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.03.020.
- [34] Cheng RK, Kittleson MM, Beavers CJ, Birnie DH, Blankstein R, Bravo PE, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Cardiac Sarcoidosis: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2024; 149: e1197–e1216. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001240.
- [35] Chareonthaitawee P, Beanlands RS, Chen W, Dorbala S, Miller EJ, Murthy VL, et al. Joint SNMMI-ASNC Expert Consensus Document on the Role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in Cardiac Sarcoid Detection and Therapy Monitoring. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2017; 58: 1341–1353. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.117.196287.
- [36] Ahmed AI, Abebe AT, Han Y, Alnabelsi T, Agrawal T, Kassi M, et al. The prognostic role of cardiac positron emission to-mography imaging in patients with sarcoidosis: A systematic review. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2021; 28: 1545–1552. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-021-02681-z.
- [37] Kim SJ, Pak K, Kim K. Diagnostic performance of F-18 FDG PET for detection of cardiac sarcoidosis; A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2020; 27: 2103–2115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-018-01582-y.
- [38] Divakaran S, Stewart GC, Lakdawala NK, Padera RF, Zhou W, Desai AS, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Advanced Imaging in Cardiac Sarcoidosis. Circulation. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2019; 12: e008975. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGIN G.118.008975.
- [39] Sgard B, Brillet PY, Bouvry D, Djelbani S, Nunes H, Meune C, et al. Evaluation of FDG PET combined with cardiac MRI for the diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of cardiac sarcoidosis. Clinical Radiology. 2019; 74: 81.e9–81.e18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2018.09.015.
- [40] Christopoulos G, Jouni H, Acharya GA, Blauwet LA, Kapa S, Bois J, et al. Suppressing physiologic 18-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in patients undergoing positron emission tomography for cardiac sarcoidosis: The effect of a structured patient preparation protocol. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2021; 28: 661–671. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-019-01746-4.
- [41] Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta JP, Del Zotti F, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The Task Force for the Management.



- ment of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). European Heart Journal. 2015; 36: 3075–3128. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv319.
- [42] Lapa C, Reiter T, Kircher M, Schirbel A, Werner RA, Pelzer T, et al. Somatostatin receptor based PET/CT in patients with the suspicion of cardiac sarcoidosis: an initial comparison to cardiac MRI. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 77807–77814. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12799.
- [43] Norikane T, Yamamoto Y, Maeda Y, Noma T, Dobashi H, Nishiyama Y. Comparative evaluation of ¹⁸F-FLT and ¹⁸F-FDG for detecting cardiac and extra-cardiac thoracic involvement in patients with newly diagnosed sarcoidosis. EJNMMI Research. 2017; 7: 69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-017-0321-0.
- [44] Calabria F, Chiaravalloti A, Schillaci O. (18)F-choline PET/CT pitfalls in image interpretation: an update on 300 examined patients with prostate cancer. Clinical Nuclear Medicine. 2014; 39: 122–130. https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000000303.
- [45] Ng D, Jacobs M, Mantil J. Combined C-11 methionine and F-18 FDG PET imaging in a case of neurosarcoidosis. Clinical Nuclear Medicine. 2006; 31: 373–375. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.rlu.0000222735.19513.bb.
- [46] John JE, Claggett B, Skali H, Solomon SD, Cunningham JW, Matsushita K, et al. Coronary Artery Disease and Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: The ARIC Study. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2022; 11: e021660. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.121.021660.
- [47] Chai K, Wang H, Li YY, Luo Y, Fang F, Liu DG, Yang JF. Pathological characteristics of the heart and coronary artery from elderly heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction and coronary artery disease. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2017; 45: 710-715. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2017.08.018. (In Chinese)
- [48] Society of Nuclear Medicine of Chinese Medical Association, Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association. Guideline for the clinical use of myocardial radionuclide imaging (2018). Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2019; 47: 519–527. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2019.07.003. (In Chinese)
- [49] Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, Berra K, Blankenship JC, Dallas AP, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2012; 60: e44–e164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.07.013.
- [50] Imamura Y, Fukuyama T, Nishimura S, Nishimura T, Japanese Assessment of Cardiac Events and Survival Study (J-ACCESS). Normal myocardial perfusion scan portends a benign prognosis independent from the pretest probability of coronary artery disease. Sub-analysis of the J-ACCESS study. Journal of Cardiology. 2009; 54: 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2009.04. 014.
- [51] Dilsizian V, Bateman TM, Bergmann SR, Des Prez R, Magram MY, Goodbody AE, et al. Metabolic imaging with betamethyl-p-[(123)I]-iodophenyl-pentadecanoic acid identifies ischemic memory after demand ischemia. Circulation. 2005; 112: 2169–2174. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.104.530428.
- [52] Zhang F, Yang X, Chen Y, Shao X, Wang J, Zhang S, et al. Incremental prognostic value of ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose myocardial ischemic memory imaging for major adverse cardiovas-

- cular events in patients with suspected unstable angina. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2024; 42: 102051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucleard.2024.102051.
- [53] Taqueti VR, Solomon SD, Shah AM, Desai AS, Groarke JD, Osborne MT, et al. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and future risk of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. European Heart Journal. 2018; 39: 840–849. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurhearti/ehx721.
- [54] McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Böhm M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. European Heart Journal. 2021; 42: 3599–3726. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eh ab368.
- [55] Nakano S, Kohsaka S, Chikamori T, Fukushima K, Kobayashi Y, Kozuma K, et al. JCS 2022 Guideline Focused Update on Diagnosis and Treatment in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease. Circulation Journal: Official Journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2022; 86: 882–915. https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-21-1041.
- [56] Bourque JM. ISCHEMIA Sheds Light on INOCA: Understanding Population Heterogeneity to Inform Prognosis and Guide Management. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 16: 75–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.10.012.
- [57] Ozkan J. Patient study highlights the need to talk about INOCA. European Heart Journal. 2023; 44: 788–789. https://doi.org/10. 1093/eurheartj/ehac828.
- [58] Manabe O, Aikawa T, Naya M, Miura S, Oyama-Manabe N. Functional Assessment of Coronary Artery Disease by Myocardial Flow Reserve Versus Pressure-wire Based Assessment: A Systematic Review. Annals of Nuclear Cardiology. 2021; 7: 57– 62. https://doi.org/10.17996/anc.21-00144.
- [59] Endo K, Kiko T, Yamakuni R, Misaka T, Yamaki T, Nakazato K, et al. Prognostic Value of Simultaneous Analysis with Myocardial Flow Reserve and Right Ventricular Strain by Hybrid ¹³N-Ammonia Positron Emission Tomography/Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Coronary Artery Disease. International Heart Journal. 2022; 63: 1063–1069. https://doi.org/10.1536/ihj.22-322.
- [60] Schindler TH, Fearon WF, Pelletier-Galarneau M, Ambrosio G, Sechtem U, Ruddy TD, et al. Myocardial Perfusion PET for the Detection and Reporting of Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction: A JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging Expert Panel Statement. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 16: 536–548. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.12.015.
- [61] Manabe O, Naya M, Tamaki N. Feasibility of PET for the management of coronary artery disease: Comparison between CFR and FFR. Journal of Cardiology. 2017; 70: 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2017.03.002.
- [62] Hung TC, Chien SC, Tsao CH, Wu YW, Yen CH, Hsiao CC, et al. The association of coronary microvascular dysfunction and cardiac maladaptation with clinical outcomes in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A prospective dynamic SPECT study. International Journal of Cardiology. 2025; 425: 133064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2025.133064.
- [63] Ziadi MC, Dekemp RA, Williams KA, Guo A, Chow BJW, Renaud JM, et al. Impaired myocardial flow reserve on rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging predicts adverse outcomes in patients assessed for myocardial ischemia. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011; 58: 740–748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.01.065.
- [64] Neglia D, Michelassi C, Trivieri MG, Sambuceti G, Giorgetti A, Pratali L, et al. Prognostic role of myocardial blood flow impairment in idiopathic left ventricular dysfunction. Circulation. 2002; 105: 186–193. https://doi.org/10.1161/hc0202.102119.
- [65] Almeida AG, Carpenter JP, Cameli M, Donal E, Dweck MR, Flachskampf FA, et al. Multimodality imaging of myocardial



- viability: an expert consensus document from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI). European Heart Journal. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 22: e97–e125. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeab053.
- [66] Usuku H, Yamamoto E, Sueta D, Imamura K, Oike F, Marume K, et al. Usefulness of automatic assessment for longitudinal strain to diagnose wild-type transthyretin amyloid cardiomyopathy. International Journal of Cardiology. Heart & Vasculature. 2023; 47: 101227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2023.101227.
- [67] Rosengren S, Skibsted Clemmensen T, Tolbod L, Granstam SO, Eiskjær H, Wikström G, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of [(11)C]PIB Positron Emission Tomography for Detection of Cardiac Amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020; 13: 1337–1347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.02.023.
- [68] Arnberg E, Eldhagen P, Löfbacka V, Venkateshvaran A, Pilebro B, Lindqvist P. RWT/SaVR-A Simple and Highly Accurate Measure Screening for Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2022; 11: 4120. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11144120.
- [69] Tang R, Wang JTY, Wang L, Le K, Huang Y, Hickey AJ, et al. Impact of Patient Preparation on the Diagnostic Performance of 18F-FDG PET in Cardiac Sarcoidosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clinical Nuclear Medicine. 2016; 41: e327– 39. https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000001063.
- [70] Wang J, Zhang J, Hosadurg N, Iwanaga Y, Chen Y, Liu W, et al. Prognostic Value of RV Abnormalities on CMR in Patients With Known or Suspected Cardiac Sarcoidosis. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2023; 16: 361–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.11.012.
- [71] Arai AE, Schulz-Menger J, Shah DJ, Han Y, Bandettini WP, Abraham A, et al. Stress Perfusion Cardiac Magnetic Resonance vs SPECT Imaging for Detection of Coronary Artery Disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2023; 82: 1828– 1838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.08.046.
- [72] Fiechter M, Ghadri JR, Gebhard C, Fuchs TA, Pazhenkottil AP, Nkoulou RN, et al. Diagnostic value of 13N-ammonia myocardial perfusion PET: added value of myocardial flow reserve. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2012; 53: 1230–1234. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.111.101840.
- [73] Valenta I, Quercioli A, Schindler TH. Diagnostic value of PET-measured longitudinal flow gradient for the identification of coronary artery disease. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2014; 7: 387–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.01.001.
- [74] Rahman H, Scannell CM, Demir OM, Ryan M, McConkey H, Ellis H, et al. High-Resolution Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging Techniques for the Identification of Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 14: 978–986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.10.015.
- [75] Paulus WJ. Unfolding Discoveries in Heart Failure. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2020; 382: 679–682. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMcibr1913825.
- [76] West HW, Dangas K, Antoniades C. Advances in Clinical Imaging of Vascular Inflammation: A State-of-the-Art Review. JACC. Basic to Translational Science. 2023; 9: 710–732. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacbts.2023.10.007.
- [77] Thackeray JT, Lavine KJ, Liu Y. Imaging Inflammation Past, Present, and Future: Focus on Cardioimmunology. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2023; 64: 39S–48S. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed .122.264865.
- [78] Nahrendorf M, Frantz S, Swirski FK, Mulder WJM, Randolph G, Ertl G, et al. Imaging systemic inflammatory networks in ischemic heart disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2015; 65: 1583–1591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.02.034

- [79] Glasenapp A, Derlin K, Gutberlet M, Hess A, Ross TL, Wester HJ, et al. Molecular Imaging of Inflammation and Fibrosis in Pressure Overload Heart Failure. Circulation Research. 2021; 129: 369–382. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA 120.318539
- [80] Lee WW, Marinelli B, van der Laan AM, Sena BF, Gorbatov R, Leuschner F, et al. PET/MRI of inflammation in myocardial infarction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2012; 59: 153–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.08.066.
- [81] Rischpler C, Dirschinger RJ, Nekolla SG, Kossmann H, Nicolosi S, Hanus F, et al. Prospective Evaluation of 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose Uptake in Postischemic Myocardium by Simultaneous Positron Emission Tomography/Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Prognostic Marker of Functional Outcome. Circulation. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2016; 9: e004316. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.004316.
- [82] Zhang N, Ma Q, You Y, Xia X, Xie C, Huang Y, et al. CXCR4-dependent macrophage-to-fibroblast signaling contributes to cardiac diastolic dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. International Journal of Biological Sciences. 2022; 18: 1271–1287. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.65802.
- [83] Werner RA, Koenig T, Diekmann J, Haghikia A, Derlin T, Thackeray JT, et al. CXCR4-Targeted Imaging of Post-Infarct Myocardial Tissue Inflammation: Prognostic Value After Reperfused Myocardial Infarction. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2022; 15: 372–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.08.013
- [84] Thackeray JT, Hupe HC, Wang Y, Bankstahl JP, Berding G, Ross TL, et al. Myocardial Inflammation Predicts Remodeling and Neuroinflammation After Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2018; 71: 263–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.024.
- [85] Ćorović A, Nus M, Mallat Z, Rudd JHF, Tarkin JM. PET Imaging of Post-infarct Myocardial Inflammation. Current Cardiology Reports. 2021; 23: 99. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11886-021-01529-9.
- [86] Liu J, Yu Q, Li Z, Zhou Y, Liu Z, You L, et al. Epicardial adipose tissue density is a better predictor of cardiometabolic risk in HFpEF patients: a prospective cohort study. Cardiovascular Diabetology. 2023; 22: 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12933-023-01778-8.
- [87] Burgeiro A, Fuhrmann A, Cherian S, Espinoza D, Jarak I, Carvalho RA, et al. Glucose uptake and lipid metabolism are impaired in epicardial adipose tissue from heart failure patients with or without diabetes. American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016; 310: E550–E564. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00384.2015.
- [88] Wang B, Xu YD, Shao S, Zhai LS, Qian B, Zhang FF, et al. Association between inflammation activity of left atrial epicardial adipose tissue measured by (18)F-FDG PET/CT and atrial fibrillation. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2021; 49: 1213–1219. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn 112148-20211026-00913. (In Chinese)
- [89] Werner RA, Hess A, Koenig T, Diekmann J, Derlin T, Melk A, et al. Molecular imaging of inflammation crosstalk along the cardio-renal axis following acute myocardial infarction. Theranostics. 2021; 11: 7984–7994. https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.61423.
- [90] Su MY, Lin LY, Tseng YH, Chang CC, Wu CK, Lin JL, et al. CMR-verified diffuse myocardial fibrosis is associated with diastolic dysfunction in HFpEF. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2014; 7: 991–997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.04.022.
- [91] Yamada Y, Sadahiro T, Nakano K, Honda S, Abe Y, Akiyama T, et al. Cardiac Reprogramming and Gata4 Overexpression Reduce Fibrosis and Improve Diastolic Dysfunction in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. Circulation. 2025;



- 151: 379–395. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA .123.067504.
- [92] Zhu H, Xie K, Qian Y, Zou Z, Jiang M, Pu J. Recent Progresses in the Multimodality Imaging Assessment of Myocardial Fibrosis. Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine. 2024; 25: 5. https://doi.or g/10.31083/j.rcm2501005.
- [93] Gupta S, Ge Y, Singh A, Gräni C, Kwong RY. Multimodality Imaging Assessment of Myocardial Fibrosis. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 14: 2457–2469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcmg.2021.01.027.
- [94] Zhang M, Quan W, Zhu T, Feng S, Huang X, Meng H, et al. [(68)Ga]Ga-DOTA-FAPI-04 PET/MR in patients with acute myocardial infarction: potential role of predicting left ventricular remodeling. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2023; 50: 839–848. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-06015-0.
- [95] Lyu Z, Han W, Zhao H, Jiao Y, Xu P, Wang Y, et al. A clinical study on relationship between visualization of cardiac fibroblast activation protein activity by Al¹⁸F-NOTA-FAPI-04 positron emission tomography and cardiovascular disease. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine. 2022; 9: 921724. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fcvm.2022.921724.
- [96] Zheng L, Ding X, Liu K, Feng S, Tang B, Li Q, et al. Molecular imaging of fibrosis using a novel collagen-binding peptide labelled with ^{99m}Tc on SPECT/CT. Amino Acids. 2017; 49: 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-016-2328-7.
- [97] Shao T, Chen Z, Belov V, Wang X, Rwema SH, Kumar V, et al. [(18)F]-Alfatide PET imaging of integrin ανβ3 for the non-invasive quantification of liver fibrosis. Journal of Hepatology. 2020; 73: 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.02.018.
- [98] Mayola MF, Thackeray JT. The Potential of Fibroblast Activation Protein-Targeted Imaging as a Biomarker of Cardiac Remodeling and Injury. Current Cardiology Reports. 2023; 25: 515–523. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-023-01869-8.
- [99] Travin MI, Kamalakkannan G. A key role for nuclear cardiac imaging in evaluating and managing patients with heart failure. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2012; 19: 879–882. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-012-9615-9.
- [100] Gimelli A, Liga R, Agostini D, Bengel FM, Ernst S, Hyafil F, et al. The role of myocardial innervation imaging in different clinical scenarios: an expert document of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and Cardiovascular Committee of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine. European Heart Journal. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 22: 480–490. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeab007.
- [101] Verberne HJ, Brewster LM, Somsen GA, van Eck-Smit BLF. Prognostic value of myocardial 123I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) parameters in patients with heart failure: a systematic review. European Heart Journal. 2008; 29: 1147–1159. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn113.
- [102] Jacobson AF, Senior R, Cerqueira MD, Wong ND, Thomas GS, Lopez VA, *et al.* Myocardial iodine-123 meta-iodobenzylguanidine imaging and cardiac events in heart failure. Results of the prospective ADMIRE-HF (AdreView Myocardial Imaging for Risk Evaluation in Heart Failure) study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2010; 55: 2212–2221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.01.014.
- [103] Seo M, Yamada T, Tamaki S, Watanabe T, Morita T, Furukawa Y, et al. Prognostic significance of cardiac I-123-metaiodobenzylguanidine imaging in patients with reduced, mid-range, and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction admitted for acute decompensated heart failure: a prospective study in Osaka Prefectural Acute Heart Failure Registry (OPAR). European Heart Journal. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2021; 22: 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeaa025.

- [104] Seo M, Yamada T, Tamaki S, Watanabe T, Morita T, Furukawa Y, et al. Prognostic Significance of Cardiac ¹²³I-MIBG SPECT Imaging in Heart Failure Patients With Preserved Ejection Fraction. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2022; 15: 655–668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.08.003.
- [105] Gimelli A, Menichetti F, Soldati E, Liga R, Vannozzi A, Marzullo P, et al. Relationships between cardiac innervation/perfusion imbalance and ventricular arrhythmias: impact on invasive electrophysiological parameters and ablation procedures. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2016; 43: 2383–2391. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3461-y.
- [106] Zelt JGE, deKemp RA, Rotstein BH, Nair GM, Narula J, Ahmadi A, et al. Nuclear Imaging of the Cardiac Sympathetic Nervous System: A Disease-Specific Interpretation in Heart Failure. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging. 2020; 13: 1036–1054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.01.042.
- [107] Ritterhoff J, Tian R. Metabolism in cardiomyopathy: every substrate matters. Cardiovascular Research. 2017; 113: 411– 421. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvx017.
- [108] Capone F, Sotomayor-Flores C, Bode D, Wang R, Rodolico D, Strocchi S, et al. Cardiac metabolism in HFpEF: from fuel to signalling. Cardiovascular Research. 2023; 118: 3556–3575. ht tps://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvac166.
- [109] Peterson LR, Gropler RJ. Metabolic and Molecular Imaging of the Diabetic Cardiomyopathy. Circulation Research. 2020; 126: 1628–1645. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120. 315899.
- [110] Taylor M, Wallhaus TR, Degrado TR, Russell DC, Stanko P, Nickles RJ, et al. An evaluation of myocardial fatty acid and glucose uptake using PET with [18F]fluoro-6-thia-heptadecanoic acid and [18F]FDG in Patients with Congestive Heart Failure. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2001; 42: 55–62.
- [111] Hansson NH, Harms HJ, Kim WY, Nielsen R, Tolbod LP, Frøkiær J, et al. Test-retest repeatability of myocardial oxidative metabolism and efficiency using standalone dynamic ¹¹C-acetate PET and multimodality approaches in healthy controls. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2018; 25: 1929–1936. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-018-1302-z.
- [112] Tuunanen H, Knuuti J. Metabolic remodelling in human heart failure. Cardiovascular Research. 2011; 90: 251–257. https://do i.org/10.1093/cvr/cvr052.
- [113] Germano G, Kiat H, Kavanagh PB, Moriel M, Mazzanti M, Su HT, et al. Automatic quantification of ejection fraction from gated myocardial perfusion SPECT. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 1995; 36: 2138–2147.
- [114] Boogers MJ, Chen J, Veltman CE, van Bommel RJ, Mooyaart EAQ, Al Younis I, et al. Left ventricular diastolic dyssynchrony assessed with phase analysis of gated myocardial perfusion SPECT: a comparison with tissue Doppler imaging. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2011; 38: 2031–2039. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-011-1870-5.
- [115] Menghoum N, Badii MC, Leroy M, Parra M, Roy C, Lejeune S, et al. Exploring the impact of metabolic comorbidities on epicardial adipose tissue in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Cardiovascular Diabetology. 2025; 24: 134. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-025-02688-7.
- [116] Zile MR, Baicu CF, Ikonomidis JS, Stroud RE, Nietert PJ, Bradshaw AD, *et al.* Myocardial stiffness in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction: contributions of collagen and titin. Circulation. 2015; 131: 1247–1259. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013215.
- [117] Santos ABS, Kraigher-Krainer E, Bello N, Claggett B, Zile



- MR, Pieske B, *et al.* Left ventricular dyssynchrony in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. European Heart Journal. 2014; 35: 42–47. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eht427.
- [118] Donal E, Lund LH, Oger E, Hage C, Persson H, Reynaud A, et al. New echocardiographic predictors of clinical outcome in patients presenting with heart failure and a preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: a subanalysis of the Ka (Karolinska) Ren (Rennes) Study. European Journal of Heart Failure. 2015; 17: 680–688. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.291.
- [119] Ha LN, Trung NTT, Son MH, Chien DV, Paeng JC. Prognostic Role of Diastolic Left Ventricular Mechanical Dyssynchrony by Gated Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography Myocardial Perfusion Imaging in Post-Myocardial Infarction. World Journal of Nuclear Medicine. 2023; 22: 108–113. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1764304.
- [120] Moss AJ, Hall WJ, Cannom DS, Klein H, Brown MW, Daubert JP, et al. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy for the prevention of heart-failure events. The New England Journal of

- Medicine. 2009; 361: 1329–1338. https://doi.org/10.1056/NE JMoa0906431.
- [121] Berglund F, Piña P, Herrera CJ. Right ventricle in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Heart (British Cardiac Society). 2020; 106: 1798–1804. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317342.
- [122] Obokata M, Reddy YNV, Melenovsky V, Pislaru S, Borlaug BA. Deterioration in right ventricular structure and function over time in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. European Heart Journal. 2019; 40: 689–697. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy809.
- [123] Apert A, Canu M, Jankowski A, Riou L, Broisat A, Charlon C, et al. Comparison of Cadmium Zinc Telluride ECG-gated SPECT equilibrium radionuclide angiocardiography to magnetic resonance imaging to measure right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction in patients with cardiomyopathy. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology: Official Publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. 2022; 29: 1647–1656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-021-02653-3.

